

Docket : A.10-11-015  
Exhibit Number : DRA-10  
Commissioner : Simon  
ALJ : Darling  
Witness : Chia



**DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES  
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

**Report on the Results of Operations  
for  
Southern California Edison Company  
General Rate Case  
Test Year 2012**

**Customer Service Business Unit Costs**

San Francisco, California  
May 11, 2011

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
	A.2012 Test Year Business As Usual O&M Forecast .....	1
	B.2013 O&M Forecast with Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed .....	2
II.	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3
III.	DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF THE CSBU EXPENSES.....	7
	A.Adjusted Recorded Expenses and Forecasts for CSBU.....	7
	B.FERC Account 901 – Business Unit Management and Support.....	8
	1. SCE’s Request for 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 901 .....	9
	2. DRA’s Recommendation for 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 901 .....	10
	3. SCE's Request for 2013 Test Year (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 901 .....	14
	4. DRA's Recommendation for 2013 Test Year (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 901 .....	15
	C.FERC Account 902 – Meter Reading Expenses.....	16
	1. SCE’s/DRA’s 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 902 .....	17
	2. SCE’s/DRA’s 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 902 .....	18
	D.FERC Account 902.300 – Operations Center.....	19
	1. SCE’s/DRA’s 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 902.300 .....	20
	2. SCE’s 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 902.300.....	21
	3. DRA’s 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 902.300.....	21
	E.FERC Account 903 – Customer Records and Collections.....	23
	1. FERC Subaccount 903.200-Credit .....	24
	a. SCE’s 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 903.200.....	25

b.	DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 903.200.....	25
c.	SCE's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 903.200 .....	28
d.	DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 903.200 .....	30
2.	FERC Subaccount 903.500 – Billing.....	31
a.	SCE's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 903.500.....	31
b.	DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 903.500.....	32
c.	SCE's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 903.500 .....	35
d.	DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 903.500 .....	36
3.	FERC Subaccount 903.800 – Customer Communication Organization (CCO) .....	37
a.	SCE's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 903.800.....	38
b.	DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 903.800.....	39
c.	SCE's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 903.800 .....	41
d.	DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 903.800 .....	43
F.	FERC Account 905 - Miscellaneous Expense .....	43
1.	FERC Subaccount 905.300-Policy Adjustments.....	44
a.	SCE's/DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) and SCE's/DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 905.300 .....	45
2.	FERC Subaccount 905.800 - Consumer Affairs .....	46
a.	SCE's/DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) and SCE's/DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 905.800 .....	47

3.	FERC Subaccount 905.900 - Market Research & Communications .....	48
	a. SCE's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 905.900.....	49
	b. DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 905.900.....	50
	c. SCE's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 905.900 .....	50
	d. DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 905.900 .....	52
G.	FERC Account 586-Meter Expense .....	53
	1. FERC Account 586.400 - Test and Inspect Meters.....	53
	a. SCE's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 586.400.....	54
	b. DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 586.400.....	55
	c. SCE's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 586.400 .....	56
	d. DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 586.400 .....	57
H.	FERC Account 587-Customer Installation Expense .....	58
	1. FERC Account 587.200 – Energy Theft.....	58
	a. SCE's/DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 587.200.....	59
I.	FERC Account 908-Customer Assistance Expenses .....	61
	1. FERC Account 908.600 – Customer Care-Account Management.....	61
	a. SCE's Test Year 2012 (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 908.600.....	62
	b. DRA's Test Year 2012 (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 908.600.....	63
	c. SCE's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 908.600 .....	63
	d. DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 908.600 .....	64

2. FERC Account 908.610 – Customer Care-Energy Centers .....	64
a. SCE’s/DRA’s Test Year 2012 (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 908.610.....	65
b. SCE’s/DRA’s 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 908.610.....	66
3. FERC Account 908.640 – Customer Care-Account Management.....	68
a. SCE’s Test Year 2012 (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 908.640.....	69
b. DRA’s Test Year 2012 (Business as Usual) for FERC Account 908.640.....	70
c. SCE’s 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 908.640 .....	71
d. DRA’s 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 908.640 .....	72
J. FERC Account 916.600 – Customer Care-Program Management/Rate Communications .....	73
1. SCE’s/DRA’s Test Year 2012 and 2013 Forecast for FERC Account 916.600 .....	74
K. FERC Account 920 – Local Public Affairs .....	76
1. SCE’s Test Year 2012 and 2013 Forecast for FERC Account 920.....	77
2. DRA’s Test Year 2012 and 2013 Forecast for FERC Account 920.....	78
IV. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF CSBU CAPITAL EXPENDITURES.....	79
A. Structures & Improvements .....	80
B. Office Furniture and Equipment.....	81
C. Meter Capital Requirements.....	82
D. Capitalized Software.....	86
1. Alerts & Notifications Project.....	88
2. Dynamic Pricing Project.....	90
3. Home Area Network Support & Troubleshooting Project.....	91
4. Plug-In Electric Vehicles Support Systems .....	92

V. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF OTHER OPERATING REVENUES AND GAINS/LOSSES ON SALE OF PROPERTY .....	93
A. Other Operating Revenues.....	93
B. Gains/Losses on Sale of Property .....	96
VI. COST RECOVERY OF RETIRED METERS.....	99
A. Background .....	99
B. DRA's Primary Recommendation .....	102
C. DRA's Alternate Position .....	103

1 **CUSTOMER SERVICE BUSINESS UNIT COSTS**

2 **I. INTRODUCTION**

3 This exhibit presents the analyses and recommendations of the Division of  
4 Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) regarding the forecasts of Southern California Edison  
5 Company's (SCE or Edison) Customer Service Business Unit (CSBU) expenses for  
6 Test Year 2012 and a separate forecast for 2013, CSBU capital expenditures for  
7 2010 through 2012, Uncollectibles expense for 2012, Other Operating Revenues  
8 (OOR) for 2012, Gains and Losses on Sale of Property for 2012, and Cost Recovery  
9 of Retired Meters.

10 SCE's CSBU has the Customer Service Operations Division (CSOD), which  
11 delivers customer services to all SCE customers, and the Customer Service and  
12 Information Delivery (CS&ID) functions, which addresses the customer service  
13 needs of SCE's nonresidential customers such as government, commercial,  
14 industrial, and agricultural customers.<sup>1</sup> The Operation and Maintenance (O&M)  
15 expenses for the Customer Service Operations activities are recorded in Federal  
16 Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Accounts 901 through 905, which are  
17 designated as "Customer Accounts" and certain portions of FERC Accounts 580,  
18 586, 587, and 597, which are designated as "Distribution Accounts."<sup>2</sup> CS&ID  
19 records expenses in FERC Accounts 907, 908, 916 (CS&ID Accounts), 920, 927  
20 (A&G Accounts), and 408 (Tax Expense Accounts).

21 **A. 2012 Test Year Business As Usual O&M Forecast**

22 In the Edison SmartConnect deployment decision (D.08-09-039), the  
23 Commission approved a Settlement Agreement between SCE and the DRA that  
24 established the Edison SmartConnect Balancing Account (ESCBA) for operation  
25 through the end of 2012. According to SCE, because the ESCBA will operate

---

<sup>1</sup> Ex. SCE-04, Vol. 3, p. 1.

<sup>2</sup> Ex. SCE-04, Vol. 2, pp. 1 and 115

1 during 2012, the TY 2012 forecast for CSBU operations needs to be developed on a  
2 business as usual basis without Edison SmartConnect costs or benefits, whereby in  
3 2012, all Edison SmartConnect incremental costs and benefits will continue to flow  
4 through the ESCBA. SCE's TY 2012 forecast for CSBU operations will include  
5 normal ratemaking treatment of all non-Edison SmartConnect related costs and  
6 benefits and include FERC Account-by-FERC Account adjustments for customer  
7 growth, productivity and non-Edison SmartConnect-related program changes  
8 forecasted between the recorded 2009 base year and the TY 2012.<sup>3</sup>

9 DRA forecasts TY 2012 expenses for CSBU operations on a business as  
10 usual basis without Edison SmartConnect costs or benefits.

### 11 **B. 2013 O&M Forecast with Edison SmartConnect Fully** 12 **Deployed**

13 SCE anticipates that SmartConnect deployment will be fully implemented by  
14 the end of 2012. SCE developed a forecast to reflect the expected CSBU  
15 operations in 2013 based on the assumption that Edison SmartConnect will be fully  
16 deployed throughout CSBU. SCE developed the 2013 forecast by using 2009  
17 recorded costs as the starting point and included all forecasted Edison  
18 SmartConnect incremental costs and benefits. The separate 2013 forecast for  
19 CSBU operations will be reflected in SCE's 2013 attrition mechanism as a specific  
20 adjustment.<sup>4</sup> SCE states that this method provides avoidance of double recovery  
21 and increased transparency of cost recovery.<sup>5</sup>

22 DRA forecasts 2013 expenses as if the Edison SmartConnect deployment is  
23 fully implemented. The Commission should consider DRA's 2013 forecasts if it does  
24 not adopt the Post Test Year Ratemaking proposals presented in Exhibit DRA-21.

---

<sup>3</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 1, p.15

<sup>4</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 1, pp. 15 and 16

<sup>5</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 1, p. 24

1 **II. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS**

2 As part of its analysis, DRA reviewed numerous exhibits and volumes of work  
3 papers, numerous sets of data requests, and met with SCE's staff.

4 The following summarizes DRA's recommendations associated with CSBU  
5 expenses:

- 6 • DRA recommends a TY 2012 forecast of \$274.154 million for  
7 CSBU expenses, compared to SCE's forecast of \$300.411 million.  
8 This represents a \$26.257 million adjustment to SCE's forecast.
- 9 • DRA forecasts \$226.069 million for 2013 CSBU expenses,  
10 compared to SCE's forecast of \$275.646 million. This represents a  
11 \$49.577 million adjustment to SCE's forecast.

12 The following summarizes DRA's recommendations associated with CSBU  
13 capital expenditures:

- 14 • DRA recommends \$50.768 million in 2010, \$40.159 million in 2011,  
15 and \$37.462 million in 2012 for CSBU capital expenditures. This  
16 compares to SCE's forecast of \$69.824 million in 2010, \$72.744  
17 million in 2011, and \$75.141 million in TY 2012.

18 The following summarizes DRA's recommendations associated with CSBU-  
19 related Other Operating Revenues (OOR) and Gains/Losses on Sale of Property:

- 20 • DRA recommends a TY 2012 forecast of \$43.091 million for CSBU-  
21 related OOR, compared to SCE's forecast of \$37.783 million. This  
22 represents a \$5.308 million adjustment to SCE's forecast.
- 23 • DRA recommends a TY 2012 forecast of \$1.788 million for gains on  
24 minor sales of property, compared to SCE's forecast of \$713,000.  
25 This represents a \$1.075 million adjustment to SCE's forecast.

26 The following summarizes DRA's recommendations associated with the cost  
27 recovery of retired legacy meters:

- 28 • DRA recommends that the net plant balance of \$308.699 million be  
29 amortized over six years with no rate of return, resulting in a rate  
30 recovery of the undepreciated portion of the legacy meters at six  
31 equal amounts of \$52.041 million for each year from 2012 to 2017.
- 32 • DRA also recommends that the rate recovery of the undepreciated  
33 portion of the legacy meters over the six-year amortization should  
34 not receive escalation or attrition increases.

1 Table 10-1a compares DRA's and SCE's TY2012 forecasts of CSBU  
 2 expenses:

3 **Table 10-1a**  
 4 **CSBU Expenses for TY2012**  
 5 **(In Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

Description (a)	DRA Recommended (b)	SCE Proposed <sup>6</sup> (c)	Amount SCE>DRA (d=c-b)	Percentage SCE>DRA (e=d/b)
901-Business Units Mgt. & Support	13,332	14,630	383	2.9%
902-Meter Reading	44,301	46,202	1,901	4.3%
903-Customer Records & Collection	106,015	115,102	9,087	8.6%
905-Misc. Customer Accts Expense	12,325	14,534	2,209	17.9%
580-Operation, Supervision, & Eng.	6,906	6,906	0	0%
586-Meter Expense	28,330	29,670	1,340	4.7%
587-Customer Installation Expense	8,363	8,363	0	0%
597.4-Maintenance of Meters	1,689	1,689	0	0%
904-Uncollectible Expenses	0.229%	0.229%	0	0%
<b>Total CSOD</b>	<b>221,261</b>	<b>237,096</b>	<b>15,835</b>	<b>7.2%</b>
408-Business License Tax	623	623	0	0%
907-Business Unit Mgmt. & Support	10,729	10,729	0	0%
908-Customer Assistance Expense	31,614	37,882	4,508	13.5%
916-Mis. Sales Expense	630	1,458	828	131%
920-Local Public Affairs	9,297	12,624	3,327	35.8%
<b>Total CSID</b>	<b>52,893</b>	<b>63,315</b>	<b>10,422</b>	<b>19.7%</b>
<b>TOTAL CSBU</b>	<b>274,154</b>	<b>300,411</b>	<b>26,257</b>	<b>9.6%</b>

<sup>6</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, pp. 116 and 117

1 Table 10-1b compares DRA's and SCE's 2013 forecasts of CSBU expenses:

2  
3  
4

**Table 10-1b**  
**CSBU Expenses for 2013 Forecast**  
**(In Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

Description (a)	DRA Recommended (b)	SCE Proposed <sup>7</sup> (c)	Amount SCE>DRA (d=c-b)	Percentage SCE>DRA (e=d/b)
901-Business Units Mgt. & Support	13,332	14,772	1,440	10.8%
902-Meter Reading	16,110	25,455	9,345	58.0%
903-Customer Records & Collection	96,434	115,963	19,529	20.3%
905-Misc. Customer Accts Expense	12,281	15,936	3,655	29.8%
580-Operation, Supervision, & Eng.	7,882	7,882	0	0%
586-Meter Expense	17,613	19,572	1,959	11.1%
587-Customer Installation Expense	5,641	7,549	1,908	33.8%
597.4-Maintenance of Meters	1,911	1,911	0	0%
904-Uncollectible Expenses	0.229%	0.229%	0	0%
<b>Total CSOD</b>	<b>171,204</b>	<b>209,040</b>	<b>37,836</b>	<b>22.1%</b>
408-Business License Tax	623	623	0	0%
907-Business Unit Mgmt. & Support	11,123	11,123	0	0%
908-Customer Assistance Expense	33,192	40,778	7,586	22.9%
916-Mis. Sales Expense	630	1,458	828	131%
920-Local Public Affairs	9,297	12,624	3,327	35.8%
<b>Total CSID</b>	<b>54,865</b>	<b>66,603</b>	<b>11,741</b>	<b>21.4%</b>
<b>TOTAL CSBU</b>	<b>226,069</b>	<b>275,646</b>	<b>49,577</b>	<b>21.9%</b>

5

<sup>7</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, pp.116 and 117

1 Table 10-2 compares DRA's and SCE's 2010-2012 forecasts of CSBU capital  
 2 expenditures:

3 **Table 10-2**  
 4 **CSBU Capital Expenditures for 2010-2012**  
 5 **(In Millions of Nominal Dollars)**

Description	DRA Recommended			SCE Proposed <sup>8</sup>		
	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
Structure & Improvements	2.931 <sup>9</sup>	1.295	2.235	2.125	1.295	5.735
Office Furniture & Equipment	0.671 <sup>10</sup>	0.752	0.752	4.699	4.527	2.100
Specialized Equipment	6.301 <sup>11</sup>	1.212	0.975	1.450	1.212	0.975
Meters	15.552 <sup>12</sup>	11.900	8.500	25.657	24.310	23.321
Capitalized Software	25.313 <sup>13</sup>	25.000	25.000	35.893	41.400	43.010
TOTAL	50.768	40.159	37.462	69.824	72.744	75.141

6

<sup>8</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 3

<sup>9</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-226-SWC, question 1

<sup>10</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-76-SWC, question 4

<sup>11</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-226-SWC, question 2

<sup>12</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-112-SWC, question 1.a.

<sup>13</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-92-SWC, questions 5 to 8

1 **III. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF THE CSBU EXPENSES**

2 **A. Adjusted Recorded Expenses and Forecasts for CSBU**

3 Table 10-3 provides an overview of SCE's CSOD and CSID's recorded  
 4 adjusted data from 2005 to 2009 and TY 2012 and a separate 2013 forecasts.

5 **Table 10-3**  
 6 **Customer Service Business Unit**  
 7 **Operations & Maintenance Expenses<sup>14</sup>**  
 8 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

Description	FERC Account	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	SCE forecast 2012	SCE Forecast 2013
Business Units Mgt. & Support	901	\$14,239	\$13,891	\$12,372	\$14,096	\$12,062	\$14,630	\$14,722
Meter Reading	902	45,036	45,704	45,739	46,928	44,301	46,202	25,455
Customer Records & Collection	903	101,358	102,602	103,980	106,185	106,017	115,102	115,963
Misc. Customer Accts. Exp.	905	10,970	11,592	11,562	9,286	12,230	14,534	15,936
Operation, Supervision, & Eng.	580	5,261	5,417	5,259	6,387	6,382	6,906	7,882
Meter Expense	586	26,812	26,872	27,341	26,779	27,519	29,670	19,572
Customer Installation Exp.	587	8,423	9,357	9,825	8,517	7,973	8,363	7,549
Maintenance of Meters	597.4	2,210	2,203	2,281	2,123	1,659	1,689	1,911
Uncollectible Expenses	904	0.11%	0.11%	0.19%	0.22%	0.24%	0.229%	0.229%
<b>Total CSOD</b>		<b>214,309</b>	<b>217,638</b>	<b>218,359</b>	<b>220,301</b>	<b>218,143</b>	<b>237,096</b>	<b>209,040</b>
Business License Tax	408	307	378	455	400	482	623	623
Business Unit Mgt. & Support	907	7,186	7,384	8,113	8,610	9,532	10,729	11,123
Customer Assistance Expenses	908	29,585	31,197	31,665	30,364	31,175	37,882	40,775
Miscellaneous Sales Exp.	916	254	435	405	712	1,458	1,458	1,458
Local Public Affairs	920	9,126	8,422	8,854	9,190	10,896	12,624	12,624
<b>Total CSID</b>		<b>46,458</b>	<b>47,816</b>	<b>49,492</b>	<b>49,276</b>	<b>53,543</b>	<b>63,315</b>	<b>66,603</b>
<b>Total CSBU</b>		<b>260,767</b>	<b>265,454</b>	<b>267,851</b>	<b>269,577</b>	<b>271,686</b>	<b>300,411</b>	<b>275,643</b>

9

<sup>14</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, pp. 114 to 118; Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, pp. 105 and 106

1 The discussion that follows focuses on the accounts where DRA has  
 2 differences with SCE's TY 2012 and 2013 forecasts.

3 **B. FERC Account 901 – Business Unit Management and**  
 4 **Support**

5 FERC Account 901 records costs incurred in the general management and  
 6 support of Customer Service Operations, such as Finance and Administration,  
 7 Business Planning, Regulatory and Tariff Program Support, Training, and Program  
 8 Management Organization support of Customer Service.<sup>15</sup> Table 10-4 presents the  
 9 recorded adjusted expenses for 2005 through 2009 for FERC Account 901.

10 **Table 10-4**  
 11 **FERC Account 901**  
 12 **2005-2009 Recorded Expenses<sup>16</sup>**  
 13 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 901</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Labor	10,667	10,587	9,613	10,973	8,957
Non-Labor	3,572	3,304	2,759	3,123	3,105
Total	14,239	13,891	12,372	14,096	12,062

14 Table 10-5 presents SCE's and DRA's 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
 15 Account 901.

16 **Table 10-5**  
 17 **FERC Account 901<sup>17</sup>**  
 18 **2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses**  
 19 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 901</b>	<b>2009 Recorded</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2012</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2012</b>	<b>2012 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2013</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2013</b>	<b>2013 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>
Labor	8,957	10,542	10,159	383	10,703	10,159	544
Non-Labor	3,105	4,088	3,173	915	4,069	3,173	896
Total	12,062	14,630	13,332	1,298	14,772	13,332	1,440

<sup>15</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 118

<sup>16</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 119

<sup>17</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 119

1                                   **1. SCE’s Request for 2012 Test Year (Business as**  
2                                   **Usual) for FERC Account 901**

3                   SCE is requesting \$14.630 million or an increase of \$2.568 million above  
4 2009 recorded expenses for FERC Account 901 for TY 2012.<sup>18</sup> SCE used 2009  
5 recorded expenses of \$12.062 million and made six test year adjustments to  
6 forecast TY 2012 expenses.

7                   The first test year adjustment of a \$221,000 increase is to reflect a cumulative  
8 customer growth rate 1.83 percent for 2009 to 2012.<sup>19</sup>

9                   The second test year adjustment of a \$1.139 million increase is for the  
10 addition of five full time equivalent employees (FTE) plus associated non-labor  
11 expenses to support CSBU’s Major Technology initiatives in SCE’s Program  
12 Management Organization.<sup>20</sup>

13                   The third test year adjustment of a \$56,000 increase is for the addition of one  
14 FTE plus associated non-labor expenses in the Payroll and Administrative Services  
15 departments.<sup>21</sup>

16                   The fourth test year adjustment of a \$773,000 increase is for the addition of  
17 nine employees plus associated non-labor expenses for training for technology  
18 enhancements made to CSBU customer service and information systems. In  
19 addition, other non-labor expenses are added for consultants and training  
20 materials.<sup>22</sup>

21                   The fifth test year adjustment of a \$147,000 increase is for the development  
22 and delivery of training modules of SCE’s Plug-in Electric Vehicle (PEV) program.<sup>23</sup>

---

<sup>18</sup> Exhibit sCE-4, Volume 2, p. 123

<sup>19</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 122

<sup>20</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, pp.122 and 123

<sup>21</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 123

<sup>22</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 123

<sup>23</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 123

1 The sixth test year adjustment of a \$232,000 increase is for training and  
2 support of the Home Area Network (HAN) technologies for the Customer  
3 Communication Organization (CCO).<sup>24</sup>

4 **2. DRA's Recommendation for 2012 Test Year**  
5 **(Business as Usual) for FERC Account 901**

6 DRA recommends a TY 2012 forecast of \$13.332 million or an increase of  
7 \$1.270 million which is 11% above 2009 recorded expenses. DRA recommends  
8 using the five-year average of recorded labor and non-labor expenses to forecast  
9 the TY 2012 for FERC Account 901. During 2005 to 2009, the recorded expenses  
10 generally declined with the exception of 2008 in which recorded expenses increased  
11 to \$14.096 million but declined to the lowest recorded expenses of \$12.062 million in  
12 2009.

13 First, DRA takes issue with SCE's TY 2012 adjustment of a \$221,000  
14 increase to reflect a cumulative customer growth of 1.83 percent for 2009 through  
15 2012. SCE has not demonstrated that the expenses in FERC Account 901 are  
16 directly proportionate to the customer growth rate. The recorded expenses for  
17 FERC Account 901 were at the highest recorded expenses of \$14.239 million in  
18 2005 and declined to the lowest recorded expenses of \$12.062 million in 2009. Past  
19 recorded data shows that recorded expenses in FERC Account 901 have declined  
20 despite recorded annual customer growth during 2005 to 2009. Table 10-6 provides  
21 SCE's recorded annual customer growth for 2005 through 2009.

---

<sup>24</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 123

1  
2  
3  
4

**Table 10-6**  
**SCE's Recorded Annual Customer Growth<sup>25</sup>**  
**2005 to 2009**

Year	Customers at December 31st	Annual Increase	Percent Increase
2005	4,741,034	72,465	1.552%
2006	4,812,474	71,440	1.507%
2007	4,851,010	38,536	0.801%
2008	4,866,324	15,314	0.316%
2009	4,993,898	17,463	0.359%

5           DRA's recommendation of \$13.332 million will allow SCE to increase FTEs in  
6 the Business Unit Management and Support departments. SCE is requesting a 50  
7 percent increase in the number of FTEs in its Program Management Organization  
8 (PMO) for a funding increase of \$1.139 million. At the end of 2009, the number of  
9 FTEs in the PMO was 9.8 FTEs.<sup>26</sup> SCE is requesting an additional five FTEs for  
10 2012. DRA's recommendation for an increase of \$1.270 million above 2009  
11 recorded expenses will allow SCE to increase FTEs in the PMO as well as additional  
12 FTEs for Finance and Administrative activities and training for CSBU's Customer  
13 Service Systems. Additionally, SCE has historically administered training programs  
14 to its CSBU staff. For example, SCE explains that training expense related to new  
15 Customer Service systems is expected to take place over a four-year period on a  
16 scope and scale similar to the ERP/SAP training program that took place in 2008.<sup>27</sup>  
17 Therefore, training expense for different training programs are embedded in  
18 historical recorded costs.

19           Second, DRA recommends removing SCE's entire funding request increases  
20 for PEV for TY 2012 which are above 2009 recorded levels. SCE instituted a PEV

---

<sup>25</sup> SCE's Response to DRA-SCE-032-SWC, Question 1

<sup>26</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-032-SWC, question 2.b.

<sup>27</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-032-SWC, question 4.b.

1 Readiness Program and recorded \$2.284 million for the PEV Readiness Program in  
2 2009.<sup>28</sup> SCE already has embedded costs for PEV Readiness recorded in 2009.

3 Also, SCE was authorized \$9.726 million in the 2009 GRC for its Low  
4 Emission Vehicle (LEV) program and engineering advancement which includes a  
5 customer education and outreach information program. SCE recorded \$11.197  
6 million for the LEV program in 2009.<sup>29</sup> Some of SCE's past recorded costs for its  
7 LEV program can also be used for PEV costs. For example, SCE has an 800  
8 number as part of its customer education and outreach component of SCE's existing  
9 Low Emission Vehicle program. Since 2005, SCE has received 954 calls at this  
10 number which provides recorded messaging on various electric vehicle topics. SCE  
11 does not track customer calls received through its general service 800 number  
12 regarding electric vehicle questions. In addition, SCE introduced a PEV microsite on  
13 its website to educate customers on the process of purchasing PEVs and to provide  
14 important electric service related information that customers who purchase a PEV  
15 may require for overall PEV readiness. The microsite also provides customers with  
16 the capability to inquire about PEVs via email. Since November 2009, SCE received  
17 277 PEV related email inquiries.<sup>30</sup>

18 SCE forecasts that there will be 21,090 PEVs in its service area by the end of  
19 2012.<sup>31</sup> SCE indicated that there are approximately 100 PEVs in its service area as  
20 of February 2011.<sup>32</sup> Based on the 100 PEVs in SCE's service area as of February  
21 2011, SCE's forecast of 21,090 PEVs in its service area by the end of 2012 is  
22 unlikely. Finally, SCE customers who purchase PEV will receive information

---

<sup>28</sup> SCE Handout, "Plug-in Electric Vehicle Readiness," in meeting with DRA on February 22, 2011

<sup>29</sup> SCE Handout, "Plug-in Electric Vehicle Readiness," in meeting with DRA on February 22, 2011, pp. 2 and 3 and SCE's response to DRA-SCE-035-SWC, question 5.e.

<sup>30</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-035-SWC, question 5.e.

<sup>31</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-032-SWC, Question 5

<sup>32</sup> DRA meeting with SCE on February 22, 2011 regarding PEV Readiness

1 regarding charging of the PEV from auto manufacturers and dealerships. Therefore,  
2 DRA recommends no additional funding for SCE's PEV program above 2009  
3 recorded levels, an adjustment of \$147,000.

4 Third, DRA recommends removing HAN program costs for TY 2012. SCE  
5 forecasts that it will have 116,000 customers with HAN devices at year-end 2012.<sup>33</sup>  
6 However, availability of HAN product devices is dependent on the ratification of HAN  
7 standards, Smart Energy Profile (SEP) 2.0, by the National Institute of Standards  
8 and Technology (NIST) which has not yet happened. SCE states that the latest SEP  
9 2.0 project schedule forecasts final ratification of the standard in June 2011 by the  
10 ZigBee Alliance, the industry consortium developing SEP 2.0, with NIST ratification  
11 thereafter. Thereafter, product development and testing of HAN devices will begin  
12 by manufacturers. SCE optimistically speculates that it is reasonable to assume  
13 SEP 2.0-based products to be commercially available in the fourth quarter of 2011 or  
14 first quarter 2012.<sup>34</sup>

15 The HAN standards have not been ratified. At this time, one can only  
16 speculate as to the number of SCE customers that will have HAN devices and to the  
17 extent of communication that SCE will have with its customers with HAN devices in  
18 2012. Also, SCE's SmartConnect proceeding has provided some funding for some  
19 HAN functionality such as SCE's Programmable Communicating Thermostat (PCT)  
20 program.<sup>35</sup> SCE has proposed to modify ESCBA so that the costs authorized in D.  
21 08-09-039 for HAN functionalities related to the PCT program remain in operation for  
22 purposes of recording authorized costs through 2014 because these costs are  
23 expected to be incurred in 2013 and 2014. SCE states that the implementation of  
24 the PCT program has been postponed due to an unanticipated delay in the  
25 Advanced Load Control System (ALCS) technology, and the provisions of In-home

---

<sup>33</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-032-SWC, Question 6

<sup>34</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-035-SWC, Question 6.d.

<sup>35</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 1, pp. 4 and 30

1 Displays (IHDs), which have been postponed due to a delay in the adoption of the  
2 Smart Energy Profile 2.0 HAN national standard.<sup>36</sup>

3 For all these reasons, DRA recommends no additional funding for SCE's HAN  
4 programs for TY 2012, an adjustment of \$232,000.

5 **3. SCE's Request for 2013 Test Year (Edison**  
6 **SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 901**

7 SCE is requesting \$14.772 million or an increase of \$2.710 million above  
8 2009 recorded expenses for FERC Account 901 for 2013.<sup>37</sup> SCE used 2009  
9 recorded expenses of \$12.062 million and made seven test year adjustments to  
10 forecast 2013 expenses.

11 The first 2013 adjustment reduces operating expenses by \$210,000 due to  
12 the full deployment of Edison SmartConnect program as fewer training specialists  
13 will be needed to provide training to meter readers and Field Service  
14 Representatives.<sup>38</sup>

15 The second 2013 adjustment of a \$323,000 increase is to reflect a cumulative  
16 customer growth rate of 2.73 percent for 2009 to 2013.<sup>39</sup>

17 The third 2013 adjustment of a \$1.496 million increase is for the addition of  
18 eight full time equivalent employees (FTE) plus associated non-labor expenses to  
19 support CSBU's Major Technology initiatives in SCE's Program Management  
20 Organization.<sup>40</sup>

---

<sup>36</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 1, p. 30

<sup>37</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 125

<sup>38</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 124

<sup>39</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 124

<sup>40</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, pp.124 and 125

1 The fourth 2013 adjustment of a \$56,000 increase is for the addition of one  
2 FTE plus associated non-labor expenses in the Payroll and Administrative Services  
3 departments.<sup>41</sup>

4 The fifth 2013 adjustment of a \$773,000 increase is for the addition of nine  
5 employees plus associated non-labor expenses for training for technology  
6 enhancements made to CSBU customer service and information systems. In  
7 addition, other non-labor expenses will be needed for consultants and training  
8 materials.<sup>42</sup>

9 The sixth 2013 adjustment of a \$40,000 increase is for the development and  
10 delivery of training modules of SCE's Plug-in Electric Vehicle (PEV) program.<sup>43</sup>

11 The seventh 2013 adjustment of a \$232,000 increase is for training and  
12 support of the Home Area Network (HAN) technologies for the Customer  
13 Communication Organization (CCO).<sup>44</sup>

#### 14 **4. DRA's Recommendation for 2013 Test Year (Edison** 15 **SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 901**

16 DRA's recommends a 2013 forecast of \$13.332 million which is \$1.440 million  
17 or 9.7 percent less than SCE's forecast for FERC Account 901. DRA's  
18 recommendation of an increase of \$1.270 million which is 11% above 2009 recorded  
19 expenses will allow SCE to increase FTES in the Business Unit Management and  
20 Support departments.

21 DRA takes issue with SCE's second 2013 adjustment of a \$323,000 increase  
22 to reflect a cumulative customer growth of 2.73 percent for 2009 through 2013. SCE  
23 has not demonstrated that the expenses in FERC Account 901 are directly  
24 proportionate to the customer growth rate. The recorded expenses for FERC

---

<sup>41</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 125

<sup>42</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 125

<sup>43</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 125

<sup>44</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 125

1 Account 901 were at the highest recorded expenses of \$14.239 million in 2005 and  
 2 declined to the lowest recorded expenses of \$12.062 million in 2009. Past recorded  
 3 data shows that recorded expenses in FERC Account 901 have declined despite  
 4 recorded annual customer growth during 2005 to 2009.

5 DRA recommends no ratepayer funding for SCE's third through seventh 2013  
 6 forecast adjustments for the same reasons as discussed above for DRA's  
 7 recommendations for TY 2012 for FERC Account 901.

8 **C. FERC Account 902 – Meter Reading Expenses**

9 FERC Account 902 captures all expenses related to the reading of customer  
 10 meters.<sup>45</sup> FERC Account 902.300 is a new sub-account to capture activities  
 11 associated with the SmartConnect Operations Center.<sup>46</sup> Table 10-7 presents the  
 12 recorded adjusted expenses for 2005 through 2009 and SCE and DRA forecasts for  
 13 TY 2012 and 2013 for FERC Account 902 and 902.300.

14 **Table 10-7**  
 15 **FERC Account 902 and 902.300**  
 16 **2005-2009 Recorded and 2012 & 2013 Forecasts<sup>47</sup>**  
 17 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

FERC Subaccount	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	SCE 2012	DRA 2012	SCE 2013	DRA 2013
902-Meter Reading Exp.	45,036	45,704	45,739	46,928	44,301	45,113	44,301	12,340	12,012
902.300-Operations Center	0	0	0	0	0	1,089	0	13,115	4,098
Total	45,036	45,704	45,739	46,928	44,301	46,202	44,301	25,455	16,110

<sup>45</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 125

<sup>46</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 133

<sup>47</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, pp. 114 and 116

1 Table 10-8 presents the recorded adjusted expenses for 2005 through 2009  
 2 for FERC Account 902.

3 **Table 10-8**  
 4 **FERC Account 902**  
 5 **2005-2009 Recorded Expenses<sup>48</sup>**  
 6 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 902</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Labor	32,839	33,506	33,288	35,327	34,822
Non-Labor	12,197	12,198	12,451	11,601	9,479
<b>Total</b>	<b>45,036</b>	<b>45,704</b>	<b>45,739</b>	<b>46,928</b>	<b>44,301</b>

7 Table 10-9 presents SCE's and DRA's 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
 8 Account 902.

9 **Table 10-9**  
 10 **FERC Account 902**  
 11 **2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses<sup>49</sup>**  
 12 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 902</b>	<b>2009 Recorded</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2012</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2012</b>	<b>2012 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2013</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2013</b>	<b>2013 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>
Labor	34,822	35,460	34,822	638	9,260	9,014	246
Non-Labor	9,479	9,653	9,479	174	3,080	2,998	82
<b>Total</b>	<b>44,301</b>	<b>45,113</b>	<b>44,301</b>	<b>812</b>	<b>12,340</b>	<b>12,012</b>	<b>328</b>

13

14 **1. SCE's/DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for**  
 15 **FERC Account 902**

16 SCE is requesting \$45.113 million or an increase of \$812,000 above 2009  
 17 recorded expenses for FERC Account 902 for TY 2012.<sup>50</sup> SCE used 2009 recorded  
 18 expenses of \$44.301 million and made an adjustment of an \$812,000 increase for

<sup>48</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 126

<sup>49</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 126

<sup>50</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 129

1 the cumulative customer growth of 1.83 percent from 2009 to 2012 to forecast 2012  
2 expenses.<sup>51</sup>

3 DRA is recommending a TY 2012 forecast of \$44.301 million which is  
4 \$812,000 or two percent less than SCE's request for FERC Account 902. DRA  
5 recommends using the 2009 recorded expenses of \$44.301 million to forecast 2012  
6 expenses.

7 DRA takes issue with SCE's proposal of an \$812,000 increase because SCE  
8 has not demonstrated that the expenses in FERC Account 902 are directly  
9 proportionate to the customer growth rate. The recorded expenses for FERC  
10 Account 902 were at the highest recorded expenses of \$46.928 million in 2008 and  
11 declined to the lowest recorded expenses of \$44.301 million in 2009. Past recorded  
12 data shows that recorded expenses in FERC Account 902 have declined from 2008  
13 to 2009 despite the recorded annual customer growth during this period.

14 **2. SCE's/DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect**  
15 **Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 902**

16 SCE is requesting \$12.340 million or a decrease of \$31.961 million below  
17 2009 recorded expenses for the 2013 forecast for FERC Account 902.<sup>52</sup> SCE used  
18 2009 recorded expenses of \$44.301 million and made two test year adjustments to  
19 forecast 2013 expenses. The first 2013 adjustment is a \$32.289 million reduction  
20 due to the full deployment of Edison SmartConnect and the elimination of  
21 approximately 720 FTEs in the Meter Services Organization and associated non-  
22 labor costs. The second 2013 forecast adjustment is a \$328,000 increase for the  
23 cumulative customer growth of 2.73 percent from 2009 to 2013.<sup>53</sup>

24 DRA is recommending \$12.012 million which is \$328,000 or 2.6 percent less  
25 than SCE's request for the 2013 forecast for FERC Account 902. DRA recommends

---

<sup>51</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 129

<sup>52</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 130

<sup>53</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 130

1 using the 2009 recorded expenses of \$44.301 million as the base to forecast 2013  
 2 expenses. DRA does not take issue with the \$32.289 million reduction due to the  
 3 full deployment of Edison SmartConnect and the elimination of approximately 720  
 4 FTEs in the Meter Services Organization and associated non-labor costs. However,  
 5 DRA does take issue with SCE's 2013 forecast adjustment of a \$323,000 increase  
 6 to reflect a cumulative customer growth of 2.73 percent for 2009 through 2013 for  
 7 the same reasons as discussed above for TY 2012, and recommends a \$323,000  
 8 adjustment. Given the substantial funding for the new SmartConnect meters, SCE  
 9 has the incentive to significantly minimize and ultimately eliminate these costs.  
 10 DRA's forecast is reasonable in light of the full deployment of SmartConnect meters.

11 **D. FERC Account 902.300 – Operations Center**

12 With the completion of Edison SmartConnect deployment in 2012, the  
 13 SmartConnect Operations Center (SOC) will be a part of MSO operations. The SOC  
 14 will plan, monitor, operate and maintain the Edison SmartConnect meter and  
 15 communication system. The functions of the SOC will be funded through the Edison  
 16 SmartConnect balancing account (ESCBA) consistent with Decision (D.) 08-09-039  
 17 until the end of 2012. SCE claims that FERC Account 902.300 is a new activity so  
 18 no historical data exists for this activity.<sup>54</sup>

19 **Table 10-10**  
 20 **FERC Account 902.300**  
 21 **2005-2009 Recorded Expenses<sup>55</sup>**  
 22 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 902.300</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

23

---

<sup>54</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 131

<sup>55</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 131

1 Table 10-11 presents SCE's and DRA's 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
2 Account 902.300.

3 **Table 10-11**  
4 **FERC Account 902.300**  
5 **2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses<sup>56</sup>**  
6 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 902</b>	<b>2009 Recorded</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2012</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2012</b>	<b>2012 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2013</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2013</b>	<b>2013 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>
Labor	0	310	0	310	3,702	1,556	2,146
Non-Labor	0	779	0	779	9,413	2,541	6,872
Total	0	1,089	0	1,089	13,115	4,098	9,017

7  
8 **1. SCE's/DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for**  
9 **FERC Account 902.300**

10 SCE is requesting \$1.089 million for TY 2012 for FERC Account 902.300.<sup>57</sup>  
11 The first TY 2012 adjustment is a \$760,000 increase to support the PEV program in  
12 which the MSO will incur non-labor implementation and maintenance costs for  
13 Information Technology applications and communication systems related to the PEV  
14 program.<sup>58</sup> The second TY 2012 adjustment is a \$329,000 increase to support the  
15 HAN program that was not included in SCE's SmartConnect deployment costs in  
16 which the MSO anticipates incremental costs for detailed troubleshooting and  
17 diagnostics related to the HAN-enabled customer devices.<sup>59</sup>

18 DRA is recommending \$0 for TY 2012 for FERC Account 902.300 as this  
19 activity is funded through the Edison SmartConnect balancing account to be  
20 consistent with Decision (D.) 08-09-039 until the end of 2012. Second, DRA takes  
21 issue with SCE's request for additional funding for its PEV and HAN programs as

---

<sup>56</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 131

<sup>57</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 132

<sup>58</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 132

<sup>59</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 132

1 discussed above in FERC Account 901, and recommends an adjustment of \$1.089  
2 million.

3 **2. SCE's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
4 **Deployed) for FERC Account 902.300**

5 SCE is requesting \$13.115 million for the 2013 Forecast for FERC Account  
6 902.300. First, SCE is requesting an increase of \$770,000 to support the PEV  
7 program. Second, SCE is requesting an increase of \$422,000 to support the HAN  
8 program.<sup>60</sup> Third, SCE forecasts an increase of \$11.923 million to support the  
9 installation and ongoing maintenance of the telecommunication data management  
10 system at the SmartConnect Operations Center. SCE's forecast of \$11.923 million  
11 is comprised of \$3.304 million in labor for 29 employees to provide on-going  
12 oversight and maintenance support associated with the operation of the  
13 SmartConnect meter and communication system and \$8.620 million for  
14 telecommunication costs associated with the SOC.<sup>61</sup> The 2009 to 2012 costs to  
15 operate SOC will be recovered through the ESCBA over the SmartConnect  
16 deployment period and is not included in the 2012 forecast.<sup>62</sup>

17 **3. DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
18 **Deployed) for FERC Account 902.300**

19 DRA is recommending a 2013 forecast of \$4.098 million which is \$9.017  
20 million or 69 percent less than SCE's forecast for the FERC Account 902.300. DRA  
21 is recommending \$4.098 million, which is comprised of \$1.556 million for labor  
22 expense and \$2.541 million for non-labor expense, to operate the SOC for 2013.

23 SCE claims that FERC Account 902.300 is a new activity and no historical  
24 data exists. However, historical cost data does exist as the functions of the SOC  
25 have been operating since 2010. The SOC has been funded through the Edison

---

<sup>60</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, pp. 133 and 134

<sup>61</sup> Workpapers to Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, Chapter IV, p. 93

<sup>62</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-208-SWC, question 1.a.

1 SmartConnect balancing account. DRA reviewed the recorded costs to operate the  
 2 SOC for 2010 and year-to-date February 2011. The following table provides the  
 3 recorded SOC costs for 2010 and year-to-date February 2011 as recorded in the  
 4 Edison SmartConnect balancing account.

5 **Table 10-12**  
 6 **SmartConnect Operations Center**  
 7 **2010 and Year-to-Date February 2011 Recorded<sup>63</sup>**  
 8 **Recorded in the SmartConnect Balancing Account 2013 Forecasted**

Cost Description	2010	Year-to-Date February 2011	Annualized 2011
Labor	1,198,093	259,325	1,555,950
Non-Labor	2,023,020	141,649	849,894
SOC Telecommunications Costs (Non-labor)	1,860,312	281,964	1,691,784
Total	5,081,425	682,938	4,097,628

9 To forecast the labor expense, DRA recommends taking the year-to-date  
 10 February 2011 recorded labor cost of \$259,325 and annualizing the two months of  
 11 recorded costs to \$1.556 million to forecast 2013 labor expenses. The 2010  
 12 recorded number of FTEs working in the SOC was 13.1. SCE states that the  
 13 number of FTEs working in the SOC in 2011 will be 17 based on the annualized  
 14 recorded hours year-to-date through February 2011.<sup>64</sup> SCE forecasts a staff of 29  
 15 FTEs in 2013 to operate the SOC. As SCE states, the number of FTEs working in  
 16 the SOC in 2011 will be 17 based on the annualized recorded hours year-to-date  
 17 through February 2011. Therefore, DRA's labor expense recommendation for 2013  
 18 should provide sufficient funding for approximately 34 FTEs which is five FTEs more  
 19 than the 29 FTEs that SCE forecasted.

20 To forecast the non-labor expenses, DRA recommends taking the year-to-  
 21 date February 2011 recorded non-labor cost of \$259,325 and annualizing these two

---

<sup>63</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-208-SWC, questions 1.a. and 1.b.

<sup>64</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-208-SWC, question 1.a.

1 months of recorded costs to \$2.541 million to forecast 2013 non-labor expenses.  
 2 DRA's recommendation is reasonable given that the monthly 2010 and the monthly  
 3 year-to-date February 2011 non-labor expenses and telecommunications expenses  
 4 show a decrease from 2010 to 2011.

5 Finally, DRA takes issue with PEV and HAN related expenses for the reasons  
 6 explained in the discussion for FERC Account 901, and recommends a \$1.192  
 7 million adjustment.

8 **E. FERC Account 903 – Customer Records and Collections**

9 FERC Account 903 includes all labor and non-labor expenses activities  
 10 involved with processing customer applications for service, receiving payments,  
 11 conducting customer credit activities, producing and delivering bills, and receiving  
 12 and resolving customer inquires. The following table provides the recorded  
 13 expenses for 2005 to 2009 and SCE's and DRA's forecast for 2012 and 2013 for  
 14 FERC Account 903 as disaggregated into the six functional subaccounts.

15 **Table 10-13**  
 16 **FERC Account 903**  
 17 **2005-2009 Recorded and 2012 & 2013 Forecasts<sup>65</sup>**  
 18 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

FERC Subaccount	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	SCE 2012	DRA 2012	SCE 2013	DRA 2013
903.100-Postage	18,335	19,501	19,854	20,949	21,798	21,341	21,341	21,518	21,518
903.200-Credit	18,499	17,231	17,642	17,833	16,995	17,815	16,995	11,662	10,292
903.300-Payment Svc.	10,348	9,392	9,224	8,888	9,603	9,836	9,836	9,673	9,673
903.500-Billing	17,486	19,156	18,166	17,360	17,170	17,902	17,170	21,364	15,668
903.700-ESP Svc	956	908	954	1,047	966	1,188	1,188	1,188	1,188
903.800-Cust. Comm. Org.	35,734	36,414	38,140	40,108	39,485	47,020	39,485	50,558	38,095
903 Total	101,358	102,602	103,980	106,185	106,017	115,102	106,015	115,963	96,434

19 The discussion that follows focuses on the accounts where DRA has  
 20 differences with SCE's TY 2012 forecast and 2013 forecast.

<sup>65</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, pp. 114 and 116

1 **1. FERC Subaccount 903.200-Credit**

2 The Credit Services function captures all costs associated with providing  
 3 credit and collection services to SCE’s customers for both active and closed  
 4 accounts. Credit Administration and Field Services are the primary organizations  
 5 recording expenses to FERC Subaccount 903.200.<sup>66</sup> Table 10-14 provides the  
 6 recorded expenses for 2005 to 2009 for FERC Subaccount 903.200.

7 **Table 10-14**  
 8 **FERC Sub-Account 903.200**  
 9 **2005-2009 Recorded Expenses<sup>67</sup>**  
 10 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 903.200</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Labor	13,193	12,354	13,301	13,961	13,425
Non-Labor	5,301	4,877	4,341	3,872	3,570
Total	18,499	17,231	17,642	17,833	16,995

11 Table 10-15 presents SCE’s and DRA’s 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
 12 Account 903.200.

13 **Table 10-15**  
 14 **FERC Account 903.200**  
 15 **2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses<sup>68</sup>**  
 16 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 903.200</b>	<b>2009 Recorded</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2012</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2012</b>	<b>2012 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2013</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2013</b>	<b>2013 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>
Labor	13,425	14,180	13,425	755	8,441	7,721	720
Non-Labor	3,570	3,635	3,570	65	3,221	2,571	650
Total	16,995	17,815	16,995	820	11,662	10,292	1,370

17  
<sup>66</sup> Exhibit SCE 4, Volume, p. 145

<sup>67</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 146

<sup>68</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 146

1 **a. SCE's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC**  
2 **Account 903.200**

3 SCE is requesting \$17.815 million, an increase of \$820,000 above 2009  
4 recorded expenses, for FERC Subaccount 903.200 for TY 2012. SCE used 2009  
5 recorded expenses of \$12.062 million and made five test year adjustments to  
6 forecast TY 2012 expenses.<sup>69</sup>

7 The first TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$311,000 for the customer  
8 growth forecast of 1.83 percent from 2009 to 2012.<sup>70</sup>

9 The second TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$321,000 to support Field  
10 Service Representative (FSR) after hour service reconnections.<sup>71</sup>

11 The third TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$74,000 for Field Services  
12 personnel to deliver final call notices in person to special needs customers.<sup>72</sup>

13 The fourth TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$57,000 for one additional  
14 employee to support the mandated Red Flag alerts for customer verification and  
15 fraud prevention activities, given the expected 25 percent increase in credit alerts  
16 activities due to the economic environment.<sup>73</sup>

17 The fifth TY 2012 adjustment is for an increase of \$57,000 for one additional  
18 employee to support the monitoring and reviewing of deposit guarantors to support  
19 expected increased volumes.

20 **b. DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC**  
21 **Account 903.200**

22 DRA recommends a TY 2012 forecast of \$16.995 million which is \$820,000 or  
23 5 percent less than SCE's request for FERC Subaccount 903.200. DRA is

---

<sup>69</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 149

<sup>70</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 148

<sup>71</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 149

<sup>72</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 149

<sup>73</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 149

1 recommending the use of the 2009 recorded expenses as the base to forecast TY  
2 2012.

3 First, DRA takes issue with SCE's TY 2012 adjustment of a \$311,000  
4 increase to reflect a cumulative customer growth of 1.83 percent for 2009 through  
5 2012. SCE has not demonstrated that the expenses in FERC Subaccount 903.200  
6 are directly proportionate to the customer growth rate. The recorded expenses for  
7 FERC Subaccount 903.200 were at the highest recorded expenses of \$18.499  
8 million in 2005 and declined to the lowest recorded expenses of \$16.995 million in  
9 2009. Past recorded data shows that recorded expenses in FERC Subaccount  
10 903.200 have declined despite recorded annual customer growth during 2005 to  
11 2009.

12 Second, DRA takes issue with SCE's TY 2012 adjustment of a \$321,000  
13 increase to support FSR after hour service reconnections. The number of field  
14 service credit disconnects and credit reconnects has declined from a high of 928,729  
15 in 2008 to a low of 753,904 in 2010 which is the lowest number of credit disconnects  
16 and credit reconnects in six years as shown by the following table. As the numbers  
17 of field service credit disconnects and credit reconnects decline, the number of  
18 potential FSR after hour service reconnections will decrease. Also, SCE will have  
19 the ability to remotely reconnect service for over 93 percent of SCE's customers.<sup>74</sup>  
20 Table 10-16 provides the field service orders completed in 2005 to 2010 for credit  
21 disconnections and reconnections.

22 SCE implemented customer service disconnection protections as ordered in  
23 CPUC Rulemaking 10-02-005 during 2010 and 2011. These customer service  
24 disconnection protections will expire upon the effective date of the 2012 GRC.<sup>75</sup>  
25 DRA recommends that SCE continues to offer these protections because they have  
26 demonstrably lowered disconnections of SCE's customers.

---

<sup>74</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 129

<sup>75</sup> D.10-07-048, Ordering Paragraph 15

1  
2  
3  
4

**Table 10-16**  
**Field Service Orders Completed in 2005 to 2010**  
**Credit / Disconnects / Reconnects<sup>76</sup>**

<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2010</b>
868,753	784,339	836,852	928,729	910,237	753,904 <sup>77</sup>

5 Third, DRA takes issue with SCE’s TY 2012 adjustment of a \$74,000 increase  
6 for Field Services personnel to deliver final call notices in person to special needs  
7 customers. SCE is already performing this function and the numbers of credit  
8 disconnects and credit reconnects have declined from 2008 to 2010.

9 Fourth, DRA takes issue with SCE’s TY 2012 adjustment of a \$57,000  
10 increase to reflect the need for one additional employee to support the mandated  
11 Red Flag alerts for customer verification and fraud prevention activities. SCE has a  
12 program in place that provides SCE’s telephone representatives the capability to run  
13 a “soft” credit inquiry and identification check while taking a turn-on request from  
14 new residential customers applying for service. In 2008, SCE developed a program  
15 that identifies and detects relevant warning signs for potential identity theft. SCE  
16 saw a 25 percent increase in 2009 above the alerts received in 2008.<sup>78</sup> In 2009,  
17 SCE received over 36,000 alerts. However, the number of alerts received in 2010  
18 decreased to 31,037.<sup>79</sup> The embedded recorded cost in 2008 and 2009 should be  
19 sufficient to cover this activity and recommends a \$57,000 adjustment.

20 Fifth, DRA takes issue with SCE’s adjustment of a \$57,000 increase to  
21 support the monitoring and reviewing of deposit guarantors. SCE anticipates that 26  
22 percent of the customers who require reconnection will take advantage of the option

---

<sup>76</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 38 and SCE’s Response to DRA-SCE-115-SWC, Question 7

<sup>77</sup> SCE states that 2010 recorded volume of credit/disconnects/reconnects was impacted by the disconnect moratorium initiated in January 2010.

<sup>78</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 66

<sup>79</sup> SCE’s response to DRA-SCE-220-SWC, question 3.a.

1 for a qualified guarantor to secure payment in the event of default in lieu of paying a  
 2 cash deposit based on the number of residential reconnects by 2012.<sup>80</sup> SCE has  
 3 used the deposit guarantor program primarily for customers establishing credit. SCE  
 4 had 734 customers in 2008, 495 customers in 2009, and 455 customers in 2010 who  
 5 used deposit guarantors to establish credit.<sup>81</sup> The number of SCE's customers who  
 6 have used deposit guarantors to establish credit has decreased since 2008. SCE  
 7 has embedded expenses to perform this function and does not require an additional  
 8 employee to support the monitoring and reviewing of deposit guarantors. The  
 9 recorded number of SCE's customers who have used deposit guarantors from 2005  
 10 to 2010 for establishment of credit is provided in the following table.

11 **Table 10-17**  
 12 **Customers Using Deposit Guarantors<sup>82</sup>**  
 13 **Recorded 2005 to 2010**

2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
64	67	131	734	495	455

14

15 **c. SCE's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
 16 **Deployed) for FERC Account 903.200**

17 SCE is requesting \$11.662 million, a decrease of \$5.333 million from 2009  
 18 recorded expenses for the 2013 forecast for FERC Account 903.200.<sup>83</sup> SCE used  
 19 the 2009 recorded expenses and made the following adjustments.

20 The first 2013 forecast adjustment is a \$6.703 million decrease to reflect a  
 21 productivity savings from the Edison SmartConnect remote service switch (RSS).<sup>84</sup>

---

<sup>80</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 149 and Workpapers to Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, Chapter IV, p. 168

<sup>81</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-220-SWC, question 4.b.

<sup>82</sup> SCE's Response to DRA-SCE-220-SWC, question 4.b.

<sup>83</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 151

1           The second 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$281,000 for  
2 customer growth of 2.73 percent from 2009 to 2013.<sup>85</sup>

3           The third 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$321,000 to support FSR  
4 after hour service reconnections.<sup>86</sup>

5           The fourth 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$74,000 for Field  
6 Service personnel to deliver final call notices in person to special needs  
7 customers.<sup>87</sup>

8           The fifth 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$57,000 to reflect the  
9 need for one additional employee to support the mandated Red Flag alerts given the  
10 expected 25 percent increase in credit alerts activities due to the economic  
11 environment.<sup>88</sup>

12           The sixth 2013 forecast adjustment is for an increase of \$57,000 for one  
13 additional employee to support the monitoring and reviewing of deposit guarantors to  
14 support expected increased volumes.<sup>89</sup>

15           The seventh 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$580,000 to reflect  
16 increased alerts and notifications to customers as SCE expects that 25 percent of  
17 customers currently receiving credit, billing and payment notices today will take  
18 advantage of this new electronic alerts offering.<sup>90</sup>

---

(continued from previous page)

<sup>84</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 150

<sup>85</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 150

<sup>86</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 150

<sup>87</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 151

<sup>88</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 151

<sup>89</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 151

<sup>89</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 151

<sup>90</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 151

1 **d. DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
2 **Deployed) for FERC Account 903.200**

3 DRA is recommending a 2013 forecast of \$10.292 million which is \$1.370  
4 million or 12 percent less than SCE's forecast for FERC Account 903.200. DRA is  
5 using the 2009 recorded expenses as the base to forecast 2013 expenses.

6 First, DRA accepts SCE's first 2013 adjustment of a \$6.703 million decrease  
7 to reflect savings from Edison SmartConnect RSS.

8 Second, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$281,000 for  
9 customer growth of 2.73 percent from 2009 to 2013 for the same reasons as stated  
10 above for TY 2012.

11 Third, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$321,000 to support  
12 FSR after hour service reconnections for the same reasons as stated above for TY  
13 2012.

14 Fourth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$74,000 for Field  
15 Service personnel to deliver final call notices in person to special needs customers  
16 for the same reasons as stated above for TY 2012.

17 Fifth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$57,000 to reflect the  
18 need for one additional employee to support the mandated Red Flag alerts given the  
19 expected 25 percent increase in credit alerts activities due to the economic  
20 environment for the same reasons as stated above for TY 2012.

21 Sixth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$57,000 for one  
22 additional employee to support the monitoring and reviewing of deposit guarantors to  
23 support expected increased volumes for the same reasons as stated above for TY  
24 2012.

25 Seventh, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$580,000 to  
26 reflect increased alerts and notifications to customers. First, SCE is speculating that  
27 25 percent of its customers who currently receive credit, billing and payment notices  
28 today will take advantage of new electronic alerts offerings such as SMS text, email,  
29 and voice messaging. Second, SCE currently has systems with the ability to send  
30 electronic alerts and notifications so costs should be embedded in recorded  
31 expenses.

1 **2. FERC Subaccount 903.500 – Billing**

2 FERC Subaccount 903.500 records the operating costs for the Billing group.  
 3 Table 10-18 provides the recorded expenses for 2005 to 2009 for FERC Subaccount  
 4 903.500.

5 **Table 10-18**  
 6 **FERC Sub-Account 903.500**  
 7 **2005-2009 Recorded Expenses<sup>91</sup>**  
 8 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 903.500</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Labor	15,656	15,583	14,969	15,688	15,567
Non-Labor	1,830	3,573	3,197	1,672	1,603
Total	17,486	19,156	18,166	17,360	17,170

9 Table 10-19 presents SCE’s and DRA’s 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
 10 Account 903.500.

11 **Table 10-19**  
 12 **FERC Account 903.500**  
 13 **2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses<sup>92</sup>**  
 14 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 903.500</b>	<b>2009 Recorded</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2012</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2012</b>	<b>2012 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2013</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2013</b>	<b>2013 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>
Labor	15,567	15,900	15,567	333	19,435	14,065	5,370
Non-Labor	1,603	2,002	1,603	399	1,929	1,603	326
Total	17,170	17,902	17,170	732	21,364	15,668	5,696

15

16 **a. SCE’s 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC**  
 17 **Account 903.500**

18 SCE is requesting \$17.902 million, an increase of \$732,000 above 2009  
 19 recorded expenses, for FERC Subaccount 903.500 for TY 2012. SCE used 2009

<sup>91</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 158

<sup>92</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 157

1 recorded expenses of \$17.170 million and made three test year adjustments to  
2 forecast TY 2012 expenses.<sup>93</sup>

3 The first TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$314,000 for customer growth  
4 of 1.83 percent from 2009 to 2012.<sup>94</sup>

5 The second TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$365,000 to reflect  
6 additional non-labor expenses in support of an enlarged bill format and a Braille  
7 billing option. SCE expects to support 1,000 customers for enlarged format and  
8 1,000 customers for the Braille bill.<sup>95</sup>

9 The third TY 2012 adjustment is \$53,000 increase for one additional  
10 employee to support ongoing rate analysis and billing inquiries due to the  
11 introduction of PEV's in SCE's service territory.<sup>96</sup>

12 **b. DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC**  
13 **Account 903.500**

14 DRA is recommending a TY 2012 forecast of \$17.170 million which is  
15 \$732,000 or four percent less than SCE's request for FERC Account 903.500. DRA  
16 is recommending using the 2009 recorded expenses to forecast TY 2012.

17 First, DRA takes issue with SCE's TY 2012 adjustment of \$314,000 increase  
18 to reflect a cumulative customer growth of 1.83 percent for 2009 through 2012. SCE  
19 has not demonstrated that the expenses in FERC Account 903.500 are directly  
20 proportionate to the customer growth rate. The recorded expenses for FERC  
21 Account 903.500 were at the highest recorded expenses of \$19.156 million in 2006  
22 but declined to the lowest recorded expenses of \$17.170 million in 2009. Past  
23 recorded data shows that recorded expenses in FERC Account 903.500 have  
24 declined despite recorded annual customer growth during 2006 to 2009. SCE also

---

<sup>93</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 160

<sup>94</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 160

<sup>95</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 160

<sup>96</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 160

1 states that the Billing group is directly impacted by customer growth as more bills will  
 2 be generated to new customers, creating additional routine operational work in  
 3 billing process oversight, exception and manual processing.<sup>97</sup> However, the  
 4 recorded number of billing exceptions shows that it does not necessarily correspond  
 5 with the customer growth rate. For example, the total number of billing exceptions  
 6 has declined from a high of 2.305 million in 2007 to a low of 1.913 million in 2009  
 7 despite the growth in customers. The following table provides the billing exceptions  
 8 for 2005 to 2010.

9 **Table 10-20**  
 10 **Billing Exceptions for 2005 to 2010<sup>98</sup>**  
 11 **(Amounts in 000s)**  
 12

Exception Type	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
System-Generated Exceptions	814	1,205	1,244	844	861	1,010
Special Billing Exceptions	114	181	180	172	154	176
Direct Access Exceptions	8	7	9	7	19	13
Interval Usage Exceptions	116	152	160	152	141	123
Meter Order Processing Exceptions	82	75	97	68	68	70
Returned Mail Exceptions	531	548	615	695	670	657
Total Billing Exceptions	1,665	2,168	2,305	1,938	1,913	2,049

13 Second, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$365,000 to  
 14 reflect additional non-labor expenses in support of enlarged bill format and Braille  
 15 billing option. SCE began offering customers the enlarged bill format in November  
 16 2008.<sup>99</sup> SCE did not offer the Braille billing option during 2005 to 2010.<sup>100</sup> DRA  
 17 supports SCE's offering of the enlarged bill format and Braille billing option.  
 18 However, SCE has not shown that additional funding will be needed in 2012 based  
 19 on the recorded numbers of people receiving the enlarged bill format since SCE  
 20 began offering this billing option in 2008. The number of customers receiving

---

<sup>97</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 54

<sup>98</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-115-SWC, question 10

<sup>99</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-220-SWC, question 1.a.

<sup>100</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-220-SWC, question 1.f.

1 enlarged bill format was six in 2008, 76 in 2009, and 146 in 2010. SCE states that  
2 the costs for the enlarged bill format are not recorded or tracked separately from  
3 other billing functions. Currently, the print bill is manually enlarged on a color copy  
4 machine and then mailed to the customer. SCE calculates that the annual expense  
5 for providing customers with the enlarged bill format was \$50 in 2008, \$3,750 in  
6 2009, and \$7,200 in 2010.<sup>101</sup>

7 SCE expects that 1,000 customers will request the enlarged bill format and  
8 1,000 customers will request the Braille bill in 2012. The enlarged bill format  
9 forecast is based on the Customer Service System's profile count of 1,002  
10 customers who indicated that they have a sight impairment condition as of  
11 December 2010. SCE expects that as outreach and communication efforts are  
12 increased to make customers aware of the enlarged bill format, more customers are  
13 expected to request the large font bill option.<sup>102</sup> SCE states that "SCE's forecast of  
14 1,000 customers requesting the Braille billing option is supported by information from  
15 the Braille Institute of America which estimates that 4.8 percent of the U.S.  
16 population is considered blind and that only 10 percent of those considered blind  
17 have the ability to read Braille. Applying these statistics to SCE's 4.9 million  
18 customers, the estimated number of SCE customers considered blind and able to  
19 read a Braille bill is approximately 23,500 customers (4.9 million x 4.8% x 10%).  
20 SCE then assumed that only 5 percent of its estimated Braille readers would take  
21 advantage of Braille billing option resulting in a forecast of 1,000 customers (23,500  
22 x 5%)."<sup>103</sup> SCE states that based on the Customer Service System profile count,  
23 only 1,002 customers indicated that they have a sight impairment condition as of  
24 December 2010 which would seem to include customers with a sight impairment  
25 condition as well as customers who are blind. DRA concludes that there would be  
26 fewer than 1,000 customers who would request enlarged bill format and fewer than

---

<sup>101</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-220-SWC, question 1.e.

<sup>102</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-220-SWC, question 1.g.

<sup>103</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-220-SWC, question 1.h.

1 1,000 customers who would request the Braille bill option. SCE has offered the  
2 enlarged bill format for over two years and 146 customers have requested the  
3 enlarged bill format. Therefore, SCE does not need additional funding for the  
4 enlarged bill format and Braille bill option in 2012.

5 Third, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$53,000 for one  
6 additional employee to support ongoing rate analysis and billing inquiries due to the  
7 introduction of PEVs for the reasons discussed above in connection with FERC  
8 Account 901.

9 **c. SCE's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
10 **Deployed) for FERC Account 903.500**

11 SCE is requesting \$21.364 million, an increase of \$4.194 million above 2009  
12 recorded expenses, for FERC Account 903.500 for its 2013 forecast.<sup>104</sup> SCE used  
13 2009 recorded expenses of \$17.170 million and made three test year adjustments to  
14 forecast 2013 expenses.<sup>105</sup>

15 The first 2013 forecast adjustment is a decrease of \$1.5 million to reflect  
16 productivity savings in Edison SmartConnect operations due to accurate billing data,  
17 accurate completion of on and off orders, and improved data validations.<sup>106</sup>

18 The second 2013 forecast adjustment is \$4.938 million increase for 77  
19 additional employees to support new rates that will utilize interval metering data due  
20 to the implementation of Edison SmartConnect meters.<sup>107</sup>

21 The third 2013 forecast adjustment is a \$428,000 increase for customer  
22 growth of 2.73 percent from 2009 to 2013.<sup>108</sup>

---

<sup>104</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 162

<sup>105</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 160

<sup>106</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 161

<sup>107</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 161

<sup>108</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 162

1 The fourth 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$277,000 to reflect  
2 additional non-labor expenses in support of an enlarged bill format and a Braille  
3 billing option. SCE expects to support 1,000 customers for enlarged format and  
4 1,000 customers for the Braille bill.<sup>109</sup>

5 The fifth 2013 forecast adjustment is \$53,000 increase for one additional  
6 employee to support ongoing rate analysis and billing inquiries due to the  
7 introduction of PEV's in SCE's service territory.<sup>110</sup>

8 **d. DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
9 **Deployed) for FERC Account 903.500**

10 DRA is recommending a 2013 forecast of \$15.668 million which is \$5.696  
11 million or 27 percent less than SCE's request for FERC Account 903.500. DRA is  
12 recommending using the 2009 recorded expenses as a base to forecast 2013  
13 expenses.

14 First, DRA accepts SCE's 2013 forecast adjustment for a decrease of \$1.502  
15 million.

16 Second, DRA takes issue with SCE's adjustment for an increase of \$4.938  
17 million for additional 77 FTEs to support new rates that will utilize interval metering  
18 data due to the implementation of the SmartConnect meters. SCE states that the  
19 Meter Data Management System (MDMS) provides all of the validation, editing, and  
20 estimating necessary to support customer usage calculations necessary to generate  
21 an accurate bill. SCE claims to expect billing usage analysis to increase in  
22 complexity as a result of advanced tariffs and programs that use interval usage data  
23 available with SmartConnect meters.<sup>111</sup> SCE planned, developed, and  
24 implemented the MDMS to meet SCE's specifications and requirements. The  
25 MDMS is an automated system that should generate accurate bills without much

---

<sup>109</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 162

<sup>110</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 162

<sup>111</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 48

1 manual interference. DRA objects to SCE's request for 77 additional FTEs to  
2 support the MDMS and recommends an adjustment of \$4.938 million.

3 Third, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$428,000 for  
4 customer growth of 2.73 percent from 2009 to 2013 for the same reasons as  
5 discussed above for TY 2012.

6 Fourth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$277,000 to reflect  
7 additional non-labor expenses in support of enlarged bill format and Braille billing  
8 option for the same reasons as discussed above for TY 2012.

9 Fifth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$53,000 for one  
10 additional employee to support ongoing rate analysis and billing inquiries due to the  
11 introduction of PEV's in SCE's service territory for the same reasons as discussed  
12 above for TY 2012.

### 13 3. FERC Subaccount 903.800 – Customer 14 Communication Organization (CCO)

15 FERC Subaccount 903.800 records the operating costs of the CCO within  
16 Customer Service Operations, as well as the support-related costs of several other  
17 organizations such as Corporate Communications, Information Technology, and  
18 Operations Support.<sup>112</sup> Table 10-21 provides the recorded expenses for 2005 to  
19 2009 for FERC Subaccount 903.800.

20 **Table 10-21**  
21 **FERC Sub-Account 903.800**  
22 **2005-2009 Recorded Expenses<sup>113</sup>**  
23 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 903.800</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Labor	29,381	29,955	30,200	32,038	30,914
Non-Labor	6,353	6,459	7,940	8,070	8,571
Total	35,734	36,414	38,140	40,108	39,485

24  

---

<sup>112</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 166

<sup>113</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 167

1 Table 10-22 presents SCE's and DRA's 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
 2 Account 903.800.

3 **Table 10-22**  
 4 **FERC Account 903.800**  
 5 **2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses<sup>114</sup>**  
 6 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

FERC Account 903.800	2009 Recorded	SCE Forecast 2012	DRA Forecast 2012	2012 Difference SCE>DRA	SCE Forecast 2013	DRA Forecast 2013	2013 Difference SCE>DRA
Labor	30,914	37,355	30,914	6,441	40,627	29,572	11,055
Non-Labor	8,571	9,665	8,571	1,094	9,932	8,523	1,409
Total	39,485	47,020	39,485	7,535	50,559	38,095	12,464

7  
 8 **a. SCE's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC**  
 9 **Account 903.800**

10 SCE is requesting \$47.020 million, an increase of \$7.535 million above 2009  
 11 recorded expenses, for FERC Subaccount 903.800 for TY 2012.<sup>115</sup> SCE used  
 12 2009 recorded expenses as the base for the TY 2012 forecast and makes the  
 13 following adjustments.<sup>116</sup>

14 The first TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$723,000 for customer growth  
 15 of 1.83 percent from 2009 to 2012.<sup>117</sup>

16 The second TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$2.666 million to reflect the  
 17 call volume growth that exceeds expected customer growth. SCE states that its  
 18 historical call volume from 2005 to 2009 is trending at a rate of 3.232 percent  
 19 annually. SCE is forecasting an additional increase in call volume above the  
 20 customer growth of 2 percent per year for TY 2012.<sup>118</sup>

<sup>114</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 167

<sup>115</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 170

<sup>116</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 168

<sup>117</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 169

<sup>118</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, pp. 169 to 170

1 The third TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$3 million to reflect longer call  
2 handle times. SCE states that based on the historical average, its call handle time is  
3 increasing 1.7 percent annually. SCE states that factoring in the new inquiries  
4 related to rates, programs, and emerging markets, which are more complex  
5 transactions and will take longer to resolve, SCE forecasts that its average call  
6 handle time will increase to 2.1 percent annually when averaged from 2009 to  
7 2012.<sup>119</sup>

8 The fourth TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$1.797 million for the  
9 competitive wage program to adjust the wages of CCO personnel to attract and  
10 retain qualified employees.<sup>120</sup>

11 The fifth TY 2012 adjustment is a decrease of \$651,000 due to call deflection  
12 and productivity savings in the CCO as customers choose to communicate with SCE  
13 through other means than a phone call.<sup>121</sup>

14 **b. DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC**  
15 **Account 903.800**

16 DRA is recommending a TY 2012 forecast of \$39.485 million which is \$7.535  
17 million or 16 percent less than SCE's request for FERC Account 903.800. DRA is  
18 recommending using the 2009 recorded expenses to forecast TY 2012 expenses.

19 First, DRA takes issue with SCE's TY 2012 adjustment of a \$723,000  
20 increase to reflect a cumulative customer growth of 1.83 percent for 2009 through  
21 2012. SCE has not demonstrated that the expenses in FERC Account 903.800 are  
22 directly proportionate to the customer growth rate. The recorded expenses for  
23 FERC Account 903.800 were at the highest recorded expense level of \$40.108  
24 million in 2008 but declined to \$39.485 million in 2009 despite customer growth. The  
25 recorded expenses declined from 2008 to 2009 despite an increase in total customer  
26 contacts and an increase in transaction activity level of the CCO during this period.

---

<sup>119</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 170

<sup>120</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 170

<sup>121</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 170

1 The following table provides the recorded activity levels of the CCO for 2005 to  
 2 2010.

3 **Table 10-23**  
 4 **Functions & Activity Levels of the CCO<sup>122</sup>**  
 5 **2005 to 2010**

Function	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Inbound Handled Calls	12,706,937	13,435,722	13,543,785	14,200,179	14,478,255	14,567,225
Channel Services Orders Processing	143,839	122,833	142,925	190,909	248,819	276,789
Inbound Correspondence	613,430	579,371	540,452	466,087	466,952	471,343
Teletypewriter (TTY)	631	717	491	324	83	120
Total Customer Contacts	13,464,837	14,138,643	14,227,653	14,857,499	15,194,109	15,315,477
Outbound Correspondence	197,986	285,957	228,781	208,818	236,725	208,861
Outbound Brochures	905,512	564,093	468,410	497,034	515,160	365,498
Billing Inquiry Investigations Completed	76,612	101,629	86,985	85,382	98,166	86,388
Same Party In Investigations	6,316	8,033	9,116	11,497	12,218	9,432
Transaction Activity Level	1,186,426	959,712	793,292	802,731	862,269	670,179

6 Second, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$2.666 million increase to  
 7 reflect the call volume growth that exceeds expected customer growth. SCE states  
 8 that the call volume from 2005 to 2009 is trending at a rate of 3.232 percent  
 9 annually. The numbers of inbound handled calls have increased since 2005, but the  
 10 historical recorded costs for the CCO have decreased from \$40.108 million in 2008  
 11 to \$39.485 million in 2009. Some of the inbound calls can also be handled by  
 12 CSBU's Interactive Voice Response System. Therefore, DRA recommends an  
 13 adjustment of \$2.666 million.

14 Third, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$3 million to support  
 15 longer call handle times. DRA reviewed SCE's average handle times which shows  
 16 that average handle times have declined from 278 seconds in 2008 to 270 seconds  
 17 in 2009. The recorded average handle times increased to 273 seconds or 1.1  
 18 percent from 2009 to 2010 which is still less than the 2.1 percent forecasted by SCE.  
 19 Although recorded 2005 to 2008 average call handle times shows a steady increase,  
 20 the average call handle times can also decrease as shown by the recorded 2008 to

---

<sup>122</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-115-SWC, question 13

1 2009 average call handle times. The following table provides the CCO's average  
2 handle time in seconds for 2005 to 2010.

3  
4  
5  
6

**Table 10-24**  
**SCE's Average Call Handle Time<sup>123</sup>**  
**Recorded 2005 to 2010 and Forecast 2012 to 2013**  
**(In Seconds)**

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Forecast 2012	Forecast 2013
Average Call Handle Time	248	259	266	278	270	273	287	289

7 Fourth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$1.797 million for  
8 SCE's competitive wage program for the CCO. Any wage increases for CCO's  
9 personnel will be provided in the labor escalation as discussed in Exhibit DRA-4.

10 Fifth, DRA will use the 2009 recorded expenses to forecast TY 2012 without  
11 reducing the forecast by the \$651,000 due to call deflection in the CCO and  
12 productivity savings. DRA is recommending the Commission not to apply the  
13 \$651,000 reduction. This will accommodate any increases in CCO expenses due to  
14 an increase in call volumes or longer call handle times.

15 **c. SCE's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
16 **Deployed) for FERC Account 903.800**

17 SCE is requesting \$48.462 million, an increase of \$11.073 million above 2009  
18 recorded expenses, for FERC Account 903.800 for 2013.<sup>124</sup> SCE used 2009  
19 recorded expenses as the base for the 2013 forecast and makes the following  
20 adjustments.

21 The first 2013 forecast adjustment is a \$1.390 million reduction due to  
22 implementation of the Edison SmartConnect program. In 2013, the CCO expects to  
23 receive fewer customer phone calls regarding inaccurate bills and service

---

<sup>123</sup> SCE's Response to DRA-SCE-115-SWC, Question 14

<sup>124</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 172

1 reconnection follow-up due to more timely and accurate services enabled by Edison  
2 SmartConnect.<sup>125</sup>

3 The second 2013 forecast adjustment is a \$2.116 million increase to support  
4 increased customer call volume in the CCO for calls about service reconnection and  
5 new customer inquiries related to interval usage, web tools, more complex rates and  
6 other Edison SmartConnect related programs and services.<sup>126</sup>

7 The third 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$1.039 million for  
8 customer growth of 2.73 percent from 2009 to 2013.<sup>127</sup>

9 The fourth 2013 forecast adjustment is a \$2.993 million increase to reflect the  
10 call volume growth that exceeds expected customer growth. SCE forecast an  
11 additional call volume above customer growth of 1.9 percent per year for 2013.<sup>128</sup>

12 The fifth 2013 forecast adjustment is a \$3.282 million increase to reflect  
13 longer call handle times which SCE forecasts will increase annually at 1.8 percent  
14 when averaged from 2009 to 2013.<sup>129</sup>

15 The sixth 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$4.193 million for SCE's  
16 competitive wage program for CCO personnel.<sup>130</sup>

17 The seventh 2013 forecast adjustment is a decrease of \$1.160 million due to  
18 call deflection and productivity savings in the CCO.<sup>131</sup>

---

<sup>125</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 171

<sup>126</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 171

<sup>127</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 171

<sup>128</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 172

<sup>129</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 172

<sup>130</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 172

<sup>131</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 172

1 **d. DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
2 **Deployed) for FERC Account 903.800**

3 DRA is recommending a 2013 forecast of \$38.095 million which is \$10.367  
4 million or 21 percent less than SCE's request for FERC Account 903.800. DRA is  
5 recommending using the 2009 recorded expenses as the base to forecast 2013.

6 First, DRA accepts a decrease of \$1.390 million due to the implementation of  
7 the Edison SmartConnect program to forecast 2013 expenses.

8 DRA takes issue with SCE's 2013 forecast adjustments number two to  
9 adjustment number six for the same reasons as discussed above for the TY 2012  
10 forecast.

11 DRA is recommending the Commission not apply the \$1.160 million reduction  
12 adjustment to forecast 2013 expenses. This will accommodate any increases in  
13 CCO expenses due to an increase in call volumes or longer call handle times.

14 **F. FERC Account 905 - Miscellaneous Expense**

15 FERC Account 905 captures all of the Customer Accounts expense not  
16 reflected in or specific to the activities identified with FERC Accounts 901 through  
17 904. This includes four specific activities; Account 905.100 includes all telephone  
18 expenses recorded by SCE's Call Centers; Account 905.300 includes all customer  
19 billing policy adjustments; Consumer Affairs expenses are recorded in Account  
20 905.800; and Market Research expenses are recorded in Account 905.900.<sup>132</sup>

21 FERC Account 905 is disaggregated into four functional subaccounts which  
22 are presented in the following table.

---

<sup>132</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 180

1  
2  
3  
4

**Table 10-25**  
**FERC Account 905**  
**2005-2009 Recorded and 2012 & 2013 Forecasts<sup>133</sup>**  
**(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Subaccount</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>SCE 2012</b>	<b>DRA 2012</b>	<b>SCE 2013</b>	<b>DRA 2013</b>
905.100-Telephone Bills	4,036	3,717	3,862	3,067	3,393	3,700	3,700	3,656	3,656
905.300-Policy Adj.	836	660	662	582	526	726	526	726	526
905.800-Consumer Affairs	1,059	1,028	998	1,163	1,249	1,463	1,099	1,463	1,099
905.900-Market Research & Comm	5,039	6,187	6,040	4,474	7,062	8,645	7,000	10,091	7,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>10,970</b>	<b>11,592</b>	<b>11,562</b>	<b>9,286</b>	<b>12,230</b>	<b>14,534</b>	<b>12,325</b>	<b>15,936</b>	<b>12,281</b>

5           The discussion that follows focuses on the accounts where DRA has  
6 differences with SCE’s TY 2012 forecast and 2013 forecast.

7                           **1. FERC Subaccount 905.300-Policy Adjustments**

8           FERC Subaccount 905.300 records direct expenses associated with adjusting  
9 customer bills.<sup>134</sup> Table 10-26 provides the recorded expenses for 2005 to 2009 for  
10 FERC Subaccount 905.300.

11   **Table 10-26**  
12   **FERC Sub-Account 905.300**  
13   **2005-2009 Recorded Expenses<sup>135</sup>**  
14   **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 905.300</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Labor	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	836	660	662	582	526
<b>Total</b>	<b>836</b>	<b>660</b>	<b>662</b>	<b>582</b>	<b>526</b>

15

<sup>133</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 181

<sup>134</sup> Exhibit SCE 4, Volume, p. 188

<sup>135</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 146

1 Table 10-27 presents SCE's and DRA's 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
 2 Account 905.300.

3 **Table 10-27**  
 4 **FERC Account 905.300**  
 5 **2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses<sup>136</sup>**  
 6 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

FERC Account 905.300	2009 Recorded	SCE Forecast 2012	DRA Forecast 2012	2012 Difference SCE>DRA	SCE Forecast 2013	DRA Forecast 2013	2013 Difference SCE>DRA
Labor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Labor	526	726	526	200	726	526	200
Total	526	726	526	200	726	526	200

7

8 **a. SCE's/DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) and**  
 9 **SCE's/DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect**  
 10 **Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 905.300**

11 SCE is requesting \$726,000 which is a \$200,000 increase from 2009  
 12 recorded expenses for FERC Account 905.300.<sup>137</sup> SCE used 2009 recorded  
 13 expenses as the base to forecast TY 2012 and 2013 forecast expenses.<sup>138</sup> SCE is  
 14 requesting an increase of \$200,000 for SCE's Service Guarantee program for both  
 15 missed appointments and timely and accurate first bills for both TY 2012 and  
 16 2013.<sup>139</sup>

17 DRA is recommending \$526,000 which is \$200,000 or 28 percent less than  
 18 SCE's request for both TY 2012 and 2013 forecast for FERC Account 905.300.  
 19 DRA recommends using the 2009 recorded expenses to forecast TY 2012 and  
 20 2013. DRA recommends that the payments to customers as a result of SCE not  
 21 meeting its commitments to individual customers should continue to be paid by the

<sup>136</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 189

<sup>137</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 191

<sup>138</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 194

<sup>139</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 191

1 shareholders and not the ratepayers to reimburse the inconvenienced customer.  
2 Decision 09-03-025 states that SCE shareholders should continue to pay for the  
3 credits of the service guarantee programs.<sup>140</sup>

4 **2. FERC Subaccount 905.800 - Consumer Affairs**

5 FERC Account 905.800 records the operations of SCE's Consumer Affairs  
6 which handles customer inquiries and complaints, and communicates and  
7 administers special programs and services for critical care, and disabled  
8 customers.<sup>141</sup> Table 10-28 provides the recorded expenses for 2005 to 2009 for  
9 FERC Subaccount 905.300.

10 **Table 10-28**  
11 **FERC Sub-Account 905.800**  
12 **2005-2009 Recorded Expenses**<sup>142</sup>  
13 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 905.800</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Labor	931	928	922	1,017	1,105
Non-Labor	128	100	76	146	144
Total	1,059	1,028	998	1,163	1,249

14

---

<sup>140</sup> D.09-03-025, p. 108

<sup>141</sup> Exhibit SCE 4, Volume, p. 192

<sup>142</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 193

1 Table 10-29 presents SCE's and DRA's 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
2 Account 905.800.

3 **Table 10-29**  
4 **FERC Account 905.800**  
5 **2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses<sup>143</sup>**  
6 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 905.800</b>	<b>2009 Recorded</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2012</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2012</b>	<b>2012 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2013</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2013</b>	<b>2013 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>
Labor	1,105	1,300	980	320	1,300	980	320
Non-Labor	144	163	119	44	163	119	44
Total	1,249	1,463	1,099	364	1,463	1,099	364

7

8 **b. SCE's/DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) and**  
9 **SCE's/DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect**  
10 **Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 905.800**

11 SCE is requesting \$1.463 million which is a \$214,000 increase from 2009  
12 recorded expenses for FERC Account 905.800 for both TY 2012 and 2013.<sup>144</sup> SCE  
13 used 2009 recorded expenses as the base to forecast TY 2012 and 2013 forecast  
14 expenses.<sup>145</sup> SCE is requesting an increase of \$214,000 to support increased  
15 outreach efforts to special needs customers and to handle increased complaint  
16 volumes for both TY 2012 and 2013.<sup>146</sup>

17 DRA is recommending \$1.099 million which is \$364,000 or 25 percent less  
18 than SCE's request for both the 2012 and 2013 forecast for FERC Account 905.800.  
19 DRA recommends using the five-year average of recorded expenses for the years  
20 2005 to 2009. The 2005 to 2009 recorded expenses show fluctuations. The  
21 recorded expenses were at a low of \$998,000 in 2007 and a high of \$1.249 million in

---

<sup>143</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 193

<sup>144</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 194

<sup>145</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 194

<sup>146</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 191

1 2009. The functions, such as the outreach to special needs customers and the  
2 handling of complaint volumes, that are recorded in this account are activities that  
3 SCE routinely performs. The five-year average provides an appropriate method to  
4 forecast TY 2012 and 2013 expenses for FERC Sub-account 905.800.

5 **3. FERC Subaccount 905.900 - Market Research &**  
6 **Communications**

7 FERC Subaccount 905.900 records the operations of SCE's Market Research  
8 and Communication functions which researches, collect, analyzes, and reports  
9 customer and industry information to develop and deliver programs, services and  
10 general information to customers.<sup>147</sup> Table 10-30 provides the recorded expenses  
11 for 2005 to 2009 for FERC Subaccount 905.900.

12 **Table 10-30**  
13 **FERC Sub-Account 905.900**  
14 **2005-2009 Recorded Expenses<sup>148</sup>**  
15 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 905.900</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Labor	1,156	1,244	1,674	2,193	2,278
Non-Labor	3,883	4,943	4,366	2,281	4,784
Total	5,039	6,187	6,040	4,474	7,062

16  

---

<sup>147</sup> Exhibit SCE 4, Volume, p. 196

<sup>148</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 197

1 Table 10-31 presents SCE's and DRA's 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
2 Account 905.900.

3 **Table 10-31**  
4 **FERC Account 905.900**  
5 **2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses<sup>149</sup>**  
6 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 905.900</b>	<b>2009 Recorded</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2012</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2012</b>	<b>2012 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2013</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2013</b>	<b>2013 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>
Labor	2,278	2,278	1,709	569	2,559	1,709	850
Non-Labor	4,784	6,367	5,291	1,076	7,532	5,291	2,241
Total	7,062	8,645	7,000	1,645	10,091	7,000	3,091

7

8 **a. SCE's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC**  
9 **Account 905.900**

10 SCE is requesting \$8.645 million which is a \$1.583 million increase from 2009  
11 recorded expenses for FERC Account 905.900.<sup>150</sup> SCE used 2009 recorded  
12 expenses as the base to forecast TY 2012 forecast expenses and added  
13 incremental adjustments for TY 2012.<sup>151</sup>

14 The first TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$1.240 million to reflect the  
15 impact of Dynamic Pricing.<sup>152</sup>

16 The second TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$151,000 for SCE.com  
17 web accessibility, including PDF remediation.<sup>153</sup>

18 The third TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$150,000 to fund ongoing  
19 User Experience Enhancements to SCE.com to improve service capabilities on

---

<sup>149</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 197

<sup>150</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 200

<sup>151</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 198

<sup>152</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 199

<sup>153</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 199

1 SCE.com for billing payment, and program enrollments; add new informational  
2 sections to address customer needs and interest, add more capabilities to keep  
3 customers informed through notifications and alerts; and a mobile web presence.<sup>154</sup>

4 The fourth TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$42,000 to fund improved  
5 monitoring of the web site.<sup>155</sup>

6 **b. DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC**  
7 **Account 905.900**

8 DRA is recommending a TY 2012 forecast of \$7.0 million which is \$1.645  
9 million or 19 percent less than SCE's request for FERC Account 905.900.

10 First, DRA recommends using the five-year average of recorded expenses  
11 (2005 to 2009) of \$5.760 million as the base to forecast TY 2012.

12 Second, DRA accepts SCE's request for a \$1.240 million increase to reflect  
13 the Dynamic Pricing impact.

14 Third, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$150,000 increase to fund  
15 ongoing User Experience Enhancements to SCE.com. SCE has embedded  
16 recorded costs during 2005 to 2009 to operate and update its website and has not  
17 shown why it should not receive additional funding.

18 Fourth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$42,000 increase to fund  
19 improved monitoring of the web site. SCE has embedded recorded costs during  
20 2005 to 2009 to operate and update its website and has not shown why it should  
21 receive additional funding.

22 **c. SCE's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
23 **Deployed) for FERC Account 905.900**

24 SCE is requesting \$10.091 million which is \$3.029 million above 2009  
25 recorded expenses for FERC Account 905.900. SCE used 2009 recorded expenses

---

<sup>154</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 200

<sup>155</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 200

1 as the base to forecast 2013 forecast expenses and added incremental adjustments  
2 for 2013.<sup>156</sup>

3 The first 2013 forecast adjustment is a \$603,000 increase to provide  
4 customer communications post-deployment of Edison SmartConnect operations. Of  
5 the \$603,000, \$518,000 is for the Dynamic Pricing efforts and \$85,000 is included in  
6 the usage information and budget management tools efforts.<sup>157</sup>

7 The second 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$928,000 to enable  
8 customers to adopt and utilize energy usage information and budget management  
9 tools.<sup>158</sup>

10 The third 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$1.758 million to support  
11 the introduction of Dynamic Pricing rates.<sup>159</sup>

12 The fourth 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$151,000 for SCE.com  
13 web accessibility, including PDF remediation.<sup>160</sup>

14 The fifth 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$150,000 to fund ongoing  
15 User Experience Enhancements to SCE.com to improve service capabilities on  
16 SCE.com for billing payment, and program enrollments; add new informational  
17 sections to address customer needs and interest, add more capabilities to keep  
18 customers informed through notifications and alerts; and a mobile web presence.<sup>161</sup>

19 The sixth 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$42,000 to fund  
20 improved monitoring of the web site.<sup>162</sup>

---

<sup>156</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 198

<sup>157</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 201

<sup>158</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 201

<sup>159</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 202

<sup>160</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 202

<sup>161</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 202

<sup>162</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 202

1 **d. DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
2 **Deployed) for FERC Account 905.900**

3 DRA is recommending \$7 million which is \$1.645 million or 19 percent less  
4 than SCE's request for the 2013 forecast for FERC Account 905.900.

5 First, DRA recommends using the five-year average of recorded expenses  
6 (2005 to 2009) of \$5.760 million as the base to forecast 2013 expenses.

7 Second, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$603,000 increase to provide  
8 customer communications post-deployment of Edison SmartConnect operations.

9 SCE states, "the impact of SmartConnect meters and their associated systems for  
10 ongoing operations will require changes to how we serve and communicate with our

11 customers."<sup>163</sup> SCE has developed and implemented different programs to

12 communicate with its customers over the years. The costs for education and  
13 outreach activities should be embedded in 2005 to 2009 recorded costs. SCE

14 should not receive additional funding to communicate with its customers in addition  
15 to the requested funding for Dynamic Pricing.

16 Third, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$928,000 to enable  
17 customers to adopt and utilize energy usage information and budget management

18 tools. Again, SCE has developed and implemented different programs to

19 communicate with its customers over the years. The costs for education and

20 outreach activities should be embedded in 2005 to 2009 recorded costs. The type of

21 information that SCE communicates to its customers will change over time. SCE

22 should not receive additional funding to communicate with its customers in addition

23 to the requested funding for Dynamic Pricing.

24 Fourth, DRA accepts the increase of \$1.240 million to support the introduction  
25 of the Dynamic Pricing.

26 Fifth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$151,000 for

27 SCE.com web accessibility, including PDF remediation. SCE has embedded

28 recorded costs during 2005 to 2009 to operate and update its website and has not

29 shown why it should receive additional funding.

---

<sup>163</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 201

1 Sixth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$150,000 increase to fund  
 2 ongoing User Experience Enhancements to SCE.com. SCE has embedded  
 3 recorded costs during 2005 to 2009 to operate and update its website and has not  
 4 shown why it should receive additional funding.

5 Seventh, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$42,000 increase to fund  
 6 improved monitoring of the web site. SCE has embedded recorded costs during  
 7 2005 to 2009 to operate and update its website and has not shown why it should  
 8 receive additional funding.

9 **G. FERC Account 586-Meter Expense**

10 FERC Account 586 is comprised of FERC Account 586.100 and FERC  
 11 Account 586.400. The following table provides the recorded expenses for 2005 to  
 12 2009 and SCE's and DRA's forecast for 2012 and 2013 for FERC Account 586 as  
 13 disaggregated into the two functional subaccounts.

14 **Table 10-32**  
 15 **FERC Account 586**  
 16 **2005-2009 Recorded and 2012 & 2013 Forecasts<sup>164</sup>**  
 17 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

FERC Subaccount	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	SCE 2012	DRA 2012	SCE 2013	DRA 2013
586.100	17,213	16,791	17,264	17,839	17,663	18,474	18,474	8,238	8,238
586.400	9,599	10,081	10,077	8,940	9,856	11,196	9,856	11,334	9,375
Total	26,812	26,872	27,341	26,779	27,519	29,670	28,330	19,572	17,613

18 The discussion that follows focuses on the accounts where DRA has  
 19 differences with SCE's TY 2012 and 2013 forecasts.

20 **1. FERC Account 586.400 - Test and Inspect Meters**

21 FERC Account 586.400 captures all expenses related to the operation,  
 22 inspection, and testing of meters and associated metering equipment.<sup>165</sup> SCE used  
 23 2009 recorded expenses and added the adjustments to forecast TY 2012 and

<sup>164</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, pp. 114 and 116

<sup>165</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 215

1 2013.<sup>166</sup> Table 10-33 provides the recorded expenses for 2005 to 2009 for FERC  
 2 Account 586.400.

3 **Table 10-33**  
 4 **FERC Account 586.400**  
 5 **2005-2009 Recorded Expenses<sup>167</sup>**  
 6 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 586.400</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Labor	6,253	6,711	6,794	5,999	7,279
Non-Labor	3,346	3,370	3,283	2,941	2,577
Total	9,599	10,081	10,077	8,940	9,856

7 Table 10-34 presents SCE's and DRA's 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
 8 Account 586.400.

9 **Table 10-34**  
 10 **FERC Account 586.400**  
 11 **2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses<sup>168</sup>**  
 12 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 586.400</b>	<b>2009 Recorded</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2012</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2012</b>	<b>2012 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2013</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2013</b>	<b>2013 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>
Labor	7,279	8,237	7,279	958	7,984	6,569	1,415
Non-Labor	2,577	2,959	2,577	382	3,350	2,806	544
Total	9,856	11,196	9,856	1,340	11,334	9,375	1,959

13

14 **a. SCE's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC**  
 15 **Account 586.400**

16 SCE is requesting \$11.196 million which is an increase of \$1.340 million  
 17 above 2009 recorded expenses for FERC Account 586.400. SCE used 2009  
 18 recorded expenses and added the adjustments to forecast TY 2012.

<sup>166</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 217

<sup>167</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 217

<sup>168</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 216

1 The first TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$180,000 for customer growth  
2 of 1.83 percent from 2009 to 2012.<sup>169</sup>

3 The second TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$564,000 for the PEV  
4 program. The Meter Services Organization (MSO) expects an increase in meter  
5 installations related to the PEV program.<sup>170</sup>

6 The third TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$596,000 for the HAN  
7 program. The MSO anticipates an increase in the number of meter testing and  
8 inspections as a result of the HAN-enabled customer devices that was not part of the  
9 SmartConnect deployment.<sup>171</sup>

10 **b. DRA's 2012 Test Year (Business as Usual) for FERC**  
11 **Account 586.400**

12 DRA is recommending \$9.856 million which is \$1.340 million or 12 percent  
13 less than SCE's TY 2012 request for FERC Account 586.400. DRA recommends  
14 using 2009 recorded expenses to forecast TY 2012 expenses.

15 First, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$180,000 customer growth of  
16 1.83 percent from 2009 to 2012. During 2005 to 2009, the recorded expenses  
17 declined from a high of \$10.081 million in 2006 to a low of \$8.940 million in 2008.  
18 The recorded expenses then increased to \$9.856 million in 2009. Past recorded  
19 data shows no correlation between Test and Inspect meter expenses and customer  
20 growth.

21 Second, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$564,000 increase for the  
22 PEV program. At the end of 2010, SCE had 47 customers who have requested a  
23 second meter installation for PEV readiness.<sup>172</sup> DRA does not find that meter  
24 installations due to PEV readiness will be a significant factor in 2012.

---

<sup>169</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 219

<sup>170</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 219

<sup>171</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 219

<sup>172</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-112-SWC, question 1.a.

1 Third, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$596,000 increase for the HAN  
2 program for the same reasons discussed above in connection with FERC Account  
3 901.

4 **c. SCE's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
5 **Deployed) for FERC Account 586.400**

6 SCE is requesting \$11.334 million which is an increase of \$1.478 million  
7 above 2009 recorded expenses for FERC Account 586.400. SCE used 2009  
8 recorded expenses and added the adjustments to forecast 2013.

9 The first 2013 adjustment is a \$1.828 million decrease due to the  
10 implementation of Edison SmartConnect.<sup>**173**</sup>

11 The second 2013 adjustment is a \$1.079 million increases to support MSO  
12 operations post Edison SmartConnect deployment.<sup>**174**</sup>

13 The third 2013 adjustment is a \$219,000 increase for customer growth of  
14 2.73 percent from 2009 to 2013.<sup>**175**</sup>

15 The fourth 2013 adjustment is an increase of \$268,000 for the MSO Quality  
16 Management System.<sup>**176**</sup>

17 The fifth 2013 adjustment is an increase of \$821,000 increase for the PEV  
18 program.<sup>**177**</sup>

19 The sixth 2013 adjustment is an increase of \$919,000 for the HAN program.  
20 The MSO anticipates an increase in the number meter testing and inspections as a

---

<sup>**173**</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 220

<sup>**174**</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 220

<sup>**175**</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 221

<sup>**176**</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 221

<sup>**177**</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 221

1 result of the HAN-enabled customer devices that was not part of the SmartConnect  
2 deployment.<sup>178</sup>

3 **d. DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
4 **Deployed) for FERC Account 586.400**

5 DRA is recommending \$9.375 million which is \$1.959 million or 17 percent  
6 less than SCE's TY 2012 request for FERC Account 586.400. DRA is  
7 recommending using 2009 recorded expenses to forecast 2013 expenses.

8 First, DRA accepts SCE's 2013 adjustment of a \$1.828 million decrease due  
9 to the implementation of Edison SmartConnect.

10 Second, DRA accepts SCE's 2013 adjustment of a \$1.079 million increase to  
11 support MSO operations post Edison SmartConnect deployment.

12 Third, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$219,000 increase for customer  
13 growth of 2.73 percent from 2009 to 2013. Past recorded data shows that recorded  
14 expenses have not been increasing despite recorded annual customer growth during  
15 2005 to 2009.

16 Fourth, DRA accepts a \$268,000 increase for the MSO Quality Management  
17 System.

18 Fifth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$821,000 increase for the PEV  
19 program. At the end of 2010, SCE had 47 customers who have requested a second  
20 meter installation for PEV readiness.<sup>179</sup> SCE has not shown that meter installations  
21 due to PEV readiness will be a significant factor or cost in 2012.

22 Sixth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$919,000 increase for the HAN  
23 program for the same reasons discussed in FERC Account 901.

---

<sup>178</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 221

<sup>179</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-112-SWC, question 1.a.



1  
2  
3  
4

**Table 10-36**  
**FERC Account 587.200**  
**2005-2009 Recorded Expenses<sup>183</sup>**  
**(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 587.200</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Labor	2,303	2,420	2,773	2,350	2,584
Non-Labor	481	485	728	456	321
Total	2,784	2,905	3,501	2,806	2,905

5 Table 10-37 presents SCE's and DRA's 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
6 Account 587.200. DRA does not take issue with SCE's 2012 forecast.

7  
8  
9  
10

**Table 10-37**  
**FERC Account 587.200**  
**2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses<sup>184</sup>**  
**(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 587.200</b>	<b>2009 Recorded</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2012</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2012</b>	<b>2012 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2013</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2013</b>	<b>2013 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>
Labor	2,584	2,584	2,584	0	4,189	2,584	1,605
Non-Labor	321	321	321	0	624	321	303
Total	2,905	2,905	2,905	0	4,813	2,905	1,908

11

**a. SCE's/DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 587.200**

12  
13

14 SCE is requesting \$4.813 million which is an increase of \$1.908 million above  
15 2009 recorded expenses for FERC Account 587.200. SCE used 2009 recorded  
16 expenses and added the adjustments to forecast 2013.

17

The only 2013 adjustment SCE proposes is a \$1.908 million increase due to  
18 the post-deployment operational requirements of the Edison SmartConnect program.

19

The MSO Revenue Protection function expects that Edison SmartConnect meter  
20 installation will uncover additional energy theft and result in an increase in the

<sup>183</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 223

<sup>184</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 222

1 number of investigations and meter tampering cases. SCE is requesting to add 22  
2 FTEs and associated non-labor expenses.<sup>185</sup>

3 DRA is recommending a 2013 forecast of \$2.905 million which is \$1.908  
4 million or 40 percent less than SCE's request for FERC Account 587.200. DRA  
5 takes issue with SCE's proposed \$1.908 million increase due SCE's assumption of  
6 additional energy theft cases. This assumption is speculative. SCE has not provided  
7 evidence that the SmartConnect system will detect an increase in the number of  
8 investigations and meter tampering cases. SCE's forecast is based on unsupported  
9 assumptions of an increase in investigations and meter tempering cases.<sup>186</sup> SCE  
10 has embedded recorded expenses for theft investigations. The number of revenue  
11 protection investigators/energy theft personnel has declined since 2007 as shown by  
12 the following table, and the current staffing is consistent with the average number of  
13 positions over the past five years. The current staff is adequate to address energy  
14 theft cases and SCE has not supported a need to increase current staffing. The  
15 following table provides the FTE headcount for revenue protection  
16 investigators/energy theft for 2005 to 2009.

17 **Table 10-38**  
18 **FERC Account 587.200**  
19 **Revenue Protection Investigators/Energy Theft FTEs**  
20 **2005-2009<sup>187</sup>**

	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Meter Services	20.0	21.6	24.1	23.3	21.7
Revenue Services	9.6	8.9	10.9	7.5	10.6
TDBU	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Total FTEs	29.7	30.6	35.1	30.9	32.4

21

---

<sup>185</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 225

<sup>186</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-52-SWC, question 5.b.

<sup>187</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-52-SWC, question 5.a.

1 **I. FERC Account 908-Customer Assistance Expenses**

2 FERC Account 908 is comprised of FERC Account 908.600, FERC Account  
 3 908.610, FERC Account 908.620, FERC Account 908.630, FERC Account 908.630,  
 4 and FERC 908.640. The following table provides the recorded expenses for 2005 to  
 5 2009 and SCE's and DRA's forecast for 2012 and 2013 for FERC Account 908 as  
 6 disaggregated into the five functional subaccounts.

7 **Table 10-39**  
 8 **FERC Account 908**  
 9 **2005-2009 Recorded and 2012 & 2013 Forecasts<sup>188</sup>**  
 10 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

FERC Subaccount	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	SCE 2012	DRA 2012	SCE 2013	DRA 2013
908.600	14,243	14,435	14,907	14,441	14,640	15,534	15,079	15,610	15,079
908.610	1,846	1,631	1,998	1,815	1,945	2,110	1,945	2,312	1,945
908.620	3,177	3,039	3,217	3,274	3,499	3,499	3,499	3,941	3,941
908.630	2,126	2,618	2,794	2,296	2,477	2,477	2,477	2,477	2,477
908.640	8,193	9,474	8,749	8,538	8,614	14,262	8,614	16,435	9,750
Total	29,585	31,197	31,665	30,364	31,175	37,882	31,614	40,778	33,192

11 The discussion that follows focuses on the accounts where DRA has  
 12 differences with SCE's TY 2012 and 2013 forecasts.

13 **1. FERC Account 908.600 – Customer Care-Account**  
 14 **Management**

15 FERC Account 908.600 captures all expenses for the Account Management  
 16 organization. The Account Management function provides basic customer services  
 17 to 689,000 non-residential service accounts that have more complex issues that  
 18 cannot be practically addressed or resolved by simple phone communication or by  
 19 mail.<sup>189</sup> SCE used 2009 recorded expenses and added the adjustments to forecast

<sup>188</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, pp. 114 and 116

<sup>189</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 107

1 TY 2012 and 2013.<sup>190</sup> Table 10-40 provides the recorded expenses for 2005 to  
 2 2009 for FERC Account 908.600.

3 **Table 10-40**  
 4 **FERC Account 908.600**  
 5 **2005-2009 Recorded Expenses<sup>191</sup>**  
 6 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 908.600</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Labor	10,764	11,006	11,238	11,008	10,892
Non-Labor	3,479	3,429	3,669	3,433	3,748
Total	14,243	14,435	14,907	14,441	14,640

7 Table 10-41 presents SCE's and DRA's 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
 8 Account 908.600.

9 **Table 10-41**  
 10 **FERC Account 908.600**  
 11 **2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses<sup>192</sup>**  
 12 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 908.600</b>	<b>2009 Recorded</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2012</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2012</b>	<b>2012 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2013</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2013</b>	<b>2013 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>
Labor	10,892	11,698	11,291	407	11,785	11,291	494
Non-Labor	3,748	3,836	3,788	48	3,825	3,788	37
Total	14,640	15,534	15,079	455	15,610	15,079	531

13

14 **a. SCE's Test Year 2012 (Business as Usual) for FERC**  
 15 **Account 908.600**

16 SCE is requesting \$15.534 million which is an increase of \$894,000 above  
 17 2009 recorded expenses for FERC Account 908.600.<sup>193</sup> SCE used 2009 recorded  
 18 expenses and added the adjustments to forecast TY 2012.

<sup>190</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 108

<sup>191</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 108

<sup>192</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 107

<sup>193</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 109

1 The first TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$309,000 for three FTEs to  
2 support the PEV program.

3 The second TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$439,000 for ten FTEs to  
4 support the anticipated increase in the number of inquiries by small, non-residential  
5 customers as Dynamic Pricing is implemented.

6 The third TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$147,000 for two FTEs to  
7 support Outage Communications for large business and public agency customers.

8 **b. DRA's Test Year 2012 (Business as Usual) for FERC**  
9 **Account 908.600**

10 DRA is recommending \$15.079 million which is \$455,000 or three percent  
11 less than SCE's request for TY 2012 for FERC Account 908.600. DRA is  
12 recommending using the 2009 recorded expenses as the base to forecast TY 2012.

13 First, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$309,000 to support  
14 the PEV program for the reasons stated above in connection with FERC Account  
15 901.

16 Second, DRA is recommending the acceptance of an increase of \$439,000 to  
17 support the Dynamic Pricing program.

18 Third, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$147,000 to support  
19 Outage Communications for large business and public agency customers. Outage  
20 Communications should be a routine activity and should be embedded in recorded  
21 expenses. Also, the 2005 to 2009 recorded expenses show little fluctuation. DRA is  
22 recommending that SCE not receive additional funding for Outage Communication  
23 activities.

24 **c. SCE's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
25 **Deployed) for FERC Account 908.600**

26 SCE is requesting \$15.610 million which is an increase of \$970,000 above  
27 2009 recorded expenses for forecast 2013 for FERC Account 908.600. SCE used  
28 2009 recorded expenses and added adjustments to forecast 2013.

29 The first 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$385,000 for four FTEs to  
30 support the PEV program.

1 The second 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$439,000 for ten  
2 FTEs to support the anticipated increase in the number of inquiries by small, non-  
3 residential customers as Dynamic Pricing is implemented.

4 The third 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$147,000 for two FTEs  
5 to support Outage Communications for large business and public agency customers.

6 **d. DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
7 **Deployed) for FERC Account 908.600**

8 DRA is recommending \$15.079 million which is \$531,000 or three percent  
9 less than SCE's request for 2013 forecast for FERC Account 908.600 for the same  
10 reasons as discussed above in connection with TY 2012.

11 **2. FERC Account 908.610 – Customer Care-Energy**  
12 **Centers**

13 FERC Account 908.610 captures all expenses for SCE's two Energy  
14 Centers.<sup>194</sup> SCE used 2009 recorded expenses and added one adjustment to  
15 forecast TY 2012 and 2013.<sup>195</sup> Table 10-42 provides the recorded expenses for  
16 2005 to 2009 for FERC Account 908.610.

17 **Table 10-42**  
18 **FERC Account 908.610**  
19 **2005-2009 Recorded Expenses<sup>196</sup>**  
20 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 908.610</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Labor	959	753	788	942	901
Non-Labor	887	878	1,210	873	1,044
Total	1,846	1,631	1,998	1,815	1,945

21

---

<sup>194</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 120

<sup>195</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 122

<sup>196</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 122

1 Table 10-43 presents SCE's and DRA's 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
2 Account 908.610.

3 **Table 10-43**  
4 **FERC Account 908.610**  
5 **2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses<sup>197</sup>**  
6 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 908.610</b>	<b>2009 Recorded</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2012</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2012</b>	<b>2012 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2013</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2013</b>	<b>2013 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>
Labor	901	1,018	901	117	1,165	901	264
Non-Labor	1,044	1,092	1,044	48	1,147	1,044	103
Total	1,945	2,110	1,945	165	2,312	1,945	367

7  
8 **a. SCE's/DRA's Test Year 2012 (Business as Usual) for**  
9 **FERC Account 908.610**

10 SCE is requesting \$2.110 million which is an increase of \$165,000 above  
11 2009 recorded expenses for TY 2012 for FERC Account 908.610.<sup>198</sup> SCE is  
12 requesting an increase of \$165,000 for three additional employees to support the  
13 existing Energy Centers.<sup>199</sup>

14 DRA is recommending \$1.945 million which is \$165,000 or eight percent less  
15 than SCE's request for TY 2012 for FERC Account 908.610. DRA takes issue with  
16 SCE's proposed \$165,000 for three additional employees to support the existing  
17 Energy Centers. SCE states that although labor and non-labor expenses fluctuated  
18 over the five year historical period, the last two years were comparable and reflected  
19 current operating requirements, as supplemental employees were replaced by full-  
20 time SCE positions.<sup>200</sup> The five-year average of recorded expenses is \$1.847  
21 million which is close to the recorded expenses of \$1.815 million in 2008 and \$1.945

---

<sup>197</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 107

<sup>198</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 123

<sup>199</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 123

<sup>200</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 122

1 million in 2009. Therefore, DRA recommends using 2009 recorded expenses to  
2 forecast TY 2012.

3 **b. SCE's/DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect**  
4 **Fully Deployed) for FERC Account 908.610**

5 SCE is requesting \$2.312 million which is an increase of \$366,000 above  
6 2009 recorded expenses for its 2013 forecast for FERC Account 908.610.<sup>201</sup> SCE  
7 added the following adjustments to 2009 recorded expenses. The first 2013  
8 adjustment is an increase of \$165,000 for three additional FTEs.<sup>202</sup> The second  
9 2013 adjustment is an increase of \$202,000 for three additional FTEs for a third  
10 Energy Center.<sup>203</sup>

11 DRA is recommending \$1.945 million which is \$367,000 or 16 percent less  
12 than SCE's 2013 forecast for FERC Account 908.610. First, DRA takes issue with  
13 SCE's proposed increase of \$165,000 for three additional FTEs at the existing  
14 Energy Centers for the same reasons as discussed above in connection with the TY  
15 2012. Second, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$202,000 for  
16 three additional FTEs for a third Energy Center. DRA is recommending that SCE  
17 not be allowed to establish a third Energy Center. SCE has two Energy Centers  
18 called the Customer Technology Application Center (CTAC) and the Agricultural  
19 Technology Application Center (AGTAC). SCE states that the seminar offerings at  
20 CTAC are in such high demand that frequently more than 50 percent of seminars  
21 have a waitlist.<sup>204</sup> This information is based only on three months of data on  
22 CTAC's Seminars/Workshops for April to June 2010.<sup>205</sup> A review of the CTAC

---

<sup>201</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 124

<sup>202</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 124

<sup>203</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 124

<sup>204</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 49

<sup>205</sup> Workpapers to Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, Chapter I-III, pp. 155 to 156

1 waitlist shows that the actual numbers of people attending the seminars were less  
2 than the registered attendees.

3 A review of the 2005 to 2009 lists of AGTAC and CTAC seminars and  
4 workshops show Energy Center personnel participates in seminars and workshops  
5 at off-site locations such as the Black History Month and Asian Pacific American  
6 Heritage Month Events which contributed to the growth of seminar attendance since  
7 2005. Also, the increased number of seminars and workshops offered at the Energy  
8 Center and at off-site locations such as community colleges and community centers  
9 contributed to the growth of seminar attendance since 2005.<sup>206</sup>

10 SCE has considered alternatives to a third Energy Center such as web-based  
11 on-demand seminar presentment; “on location” seminars at various locations in  
12 SCE’s service territory; and a mobile Energy Center. SCE is in the development  
13 stage to establish web based on-demand seminar presentment that will provide on-  
14 demand access to training and education for customers at their own convenience.  
15 SCE is considering expanding the “on location” seminars at various sites throughout  
16 SCE’s service territory such as community colleges and community centers. The  
17 Mobile Energy Center could host seminars and workshops as well as house the  
18 necessary displays and equipment.<sup>207</sup>

19 The existing two Energy Centers have made seminars and workshops  
20 available for SCE’s customers through on-site and off-site locations. Also, the  
21 alternatives considered by SCE will allow SCE to offer seminars and workshops to  
22 customers without the need for a third Energy Center. DRA recommends that  
23 funding for a third Energy Center should not be authorized.

---

<sup>206</sup> SCE’s response to DRA-SCE-70-SWC, question 1

<sup>207</sup> SCE’s response to DRA-SCE-70-SWC, questions 2.d.

1 **3. FERC Account 908.640 – Customer Care-Account**  
 2 **Management**

3 FERC Account 908.640 captures all expenses for the Program Management  
 4 function which researches, develops, manages, communicates, and measures  
 5 customer care programs.<sup>208</sup> SCE used 2009 recorded expenses and added  
 6 adjustments to forecast TY 2012 and 2013.<sup>209</sup> Table 10-44 provides the recorded  
 7 expenses for 2005 to 2009 for FERC Account 908.640.

8 **Table 10-44**  
 9 **FERC Account 908.640**  
 10 **2005-2009 Recorded Expenses**<sup>210</sup>  
 11 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 908.640</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Labor	3,420	3,721	3,466	4,083	4,030
Non-Labor	4,773	5,753	5,283	4,455	4,584
Total	8,193	9,474	8,749	8,538	8,614

12 Table 10-45 presents SCE's and DRA's 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
 13 Account 908.640.

14 **Table 10-45**  
 15 **FERC Account 908.640**  
 16 **2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses**<sup>211</sup>  
 17 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 908.640</b>	<b>2009 Recorded</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2012</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2012</b>	<b>2012 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2013</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2013</b>	<b>2013 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>
Labor	4,030	4,692	4,030	662	5,254	4,405	849
Non-Labor	4,584	9,570	4,584	4,986	11,181	5,345	5,836
Total	8,614	14,262	8,614	5,648	16,435	9,750	6,685

<sup>208</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 111

<sup>209</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 112

<sup>210</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 112

<sup>211</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 111

1 **a. SCE's Test Year 2012 (Business as Usual) for FERC**  
2 **Account 908.640**

3 SCE is requesting \$14.262 million which is an increase of \$5.648 million  
4 above 2009 recorded expenses for TY 2012 for FERC Account 908.640.<sup>212</sup> SCE  
5 used 2009 recorded expenses as the base and added adjustments to forecast TY  
6 2012 expenses.

7 The first TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$155,000 for the SCE Energy  
8 Manager.<sup>213</sup> SCE EnergyManager® is a suite comprised of both free and fee-  
9 based services that serves as the primary on-line delivery platform for energy  
10 information and tools for SCE's largest commercial and industrial customers.<sup>214</sup>

11 The second TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$2.160 million for the  
12 development, marketing, customer education and outreach of the Dynamic Pricing  
13 programs.<sup>215</sup>

14 The third TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$2.698 million for the PEV  
15 Program to market and communicate SCE PEV programs.<sup>216</sup>

16 The fourth TY 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$292,000 for program  
17 administration to support an anticipated increase in costs to administer the Medical  
18 Baseline program, and the Energy Assistance Fund.<sup>217</sup>

19 The fifth 2012 adjustment is an increase of \$343,000 for Web Accessibility,  
20 User Experience Enhancements, and SCE.com Monitoring activities.<sup>218</sup>

---

<sup>212</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 114

<sup>213</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 113

<sup>214</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 31

<sup>215</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 113

<sup>216</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 114

<sup>217</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 114

<sup>218</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 114

1 **b. DRA's Test Year 2012 (Business as Usual) for FERC**  
2 **Account 908.640**

3 DRA is recommending \$8.614 million which is \$5.648 million or 39.6 percent  
4 less than SCE's TY 2012 request for FERC Account 908.640. DRA is  
5 recommending using 2009 recorded expenses as a base to forecast TY 2012  
6 expenses. A review of 2005 to 2009 recorded expenses shows that the five years of  
7 recorded expenses were at a relatively consistent level.

8 First, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$155,000 increase for the SCE  
9 EnergyManager®. SCE has embedded cost for SCE EnergyManager® which was  
10 launched in 2001 and has not shown why it should receive additional funding.

11 Second, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$2.160 million increase for  
12 the Dynamic Pricing programs. DRA recommended incremental funding in FERC  
13 Accounts 905.900 and 908.600 for SCE's Dynamic Pricing programs which should  
14 be sufficient for TY 2012 and 2013 to implement this program. As DRA discussed  
15 below in connection with SCE's Dynamic Pricing capital project, SCE has minimum  
16 activity on this project which may indicate that Dynamic Pricing in itself is more  
17 complicated than anticipated and therefore would impact SCE's schedule to  
18 implement Dynamic Pricing.

19 Third, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$2.698 million increase for the  
20 PEV program's marketing and communication. DRA is recommending the  
21 disallowance of additional funding of the PEV program for the reasons stated above  
22 in connection with FERC Account 901.

23 Fourth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$292,000 for  
24 program administration to support an anticipated increase in costs to administer the  
25 Medical Baseline program, and the Energy Assistance Fund. The Medical Baseline  
26 program and the Energy Assistance Fund are existing programs with embedded  
27 recorded costs. The following table shows that medical baseline applications and  
28 renewals process for 2006 to 2010 were at a high of 47,057 applications processed  
29 in 2009 but dropped to 44,946 applications processed in 2010. SCE has not shown  
30 why it should receive additional funding for these activities.

1 **Table 10-46**  
 2 **Medical Baseline Applications and Renewals Processed<sup>219</sup>**  
 3 **(2006 to 2010)**  
 4

Year	Enrollments & Renewals
2006	22,483
2007	28,655
2008	35,421
2009	47,057
2010	44,946

5 Fifth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$343,000 for Web  
 6 Accessibility, User Experience Enhancements, and SCE.com Monitoring activities.  
 7 SCE has embedded recorded costs during 2005 to 2009 to operate and update its  
 8 website and has not shown why it should receive additional funding.

9 **c. SCE's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
 10 **Deployed) for FERC Account 908.640**

11 SCE is requesting \$16.435 million which is an increase of \$7.821 million  
 12 above 2009 recorded expenses for 2013 forecast for FERC Account 908.640.<sup>220</sup>  
 13 SCE used 2009 recorded expenses as the base and added adjustments to forecast  
 14 2013 expenses.

15 The first 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$2.222 million for energy  
 16 information and budget management tools comprised of \$155,000 for SCE  
 17 EnergyManager®, \$1.136 million for Edison SmartConnect Enabled Energy  
 18 Information and Budget Management Tools, and \$931,000 for related marketing and  
 19 communication efforts.<sup>221</sup>

---

<sup>219</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-115-SWC, question 20

<sup>220</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 117

<sup>221</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 2, p. 115

1 The second 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$860,000 for the SCE  
2 Dynamic Pricing programs.<sup>222</sup>

3 The third 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$4.104 million to prepare  
4 customers for emerging markets and technologies comprised of \$2.769 million for  
5 the PEV program and \$1.335 million for the HAN program.<sup>223</sup>

6 The fourth 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$292,000 for program  
7 administration to support an anticipated increase in costs to administer the Medical  
8 Baseline program, and the Energy Assistance Fund.<sup>224</sup>

9 The fifth 2013 forecast adjustment is an increase of \$343,000 for Web  
10 Accessibility, User Experience Enhancements, and SCE.com Monitoring  
11 activities.<sup>225</sup>

12 **d. DRA's 2013 Forecast (Edison SmartConnect Fully**  
13 **Deployed) for FERC Account 908.640**

14 DRA is recommending \$9.750 million which is \$6.685 million or 40.7 percent  
15 less than SCE's 2013 forecast for FERC Account 908.640. DRA uses 2009  
16 recorded expenses as a base to forecast 2013 expenses.

17 First, DRA accepts an increase of \$1.136 million for Edison SmartConnect  
18 Enabled Energy Information and Budget Management Tools.

19 Second, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed \$155,000 adjustment for SCE  
20 EnergyManager® for the same reasons as above for TY 2012.

21 Third, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$931,000 for Edison  
22 SmartConnect Enabled Energy Info related marketing and communication efforts. A  
23 review of 2005 to 2009 recorded expenses shows that the five years of recorded  
24 expenses were at a relatively consistent level. The embedded recorded expenses

---

<sup>222</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 116

<sup>223</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 116

<sup>224</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 116

<sup>225</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 114

1 include activities such as marketing and communication efforts. Different outreach  
2 and marketing campaigns occur throughout the years and are embedded in  
3 recorded expenses.

4 Fourth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$860,000 for  
5 Dynamic Pricing programs as discussed above in connection with TY 2012.

6 Fifth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$2.769 million for a  
7 PEV program for the same reasons as stated above in connection with FERC  
8 Account 901.

9 Sixth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$1.335 million for the  
10 HAN program for the same reasons as stated above in connection with FERC  
11 Account 901.

12 Seventh, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$292,000 for  
13 Program Administration for Medical Baseline and Energy Assistance Fund for the  
14 same reasons as stated above for TY 2012.

15 Eighth, DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed increase of \$343,000 for Web  
16 Accessibility, User Experience Enhancements, and SCE.com Monitoring for the  
17 same reasons as stated above for TY 2012.

#### 18 **J. FERC Account 916.600 – Customer Care-Program** 19 **Management/Rate Communications**

20 FERC Account 916.600 captures all expenses for the Program Management  
21 function for Rate Communication activity.<sup>226</sup> SCE expects to continue at the 2009  
22 recorded expense level for TY 2012 and 2013.<sup>227</sup> Table 10-47 provides the  
23 recorded expenses for 2005 to 2009 for FERC Account 916.600.

---

<sup>226</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 117

<sup>227</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, pp. 119 and 120

1  
2  
3  
4

**Table 10-47**  
**FERC Account 916.600**  
**2005-2009 Recorded Expenses<sup>228</sup>**  
**(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 916.600</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Labor	76	197	254	226	285
Non-Labor	178	238	151	486	1,173
Total	254	435	405	712	1,458

5 Table 10-48 presents SCE's and DRA's 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
6 Account 916.600.

7  
8  
9  
10

**Table 10-48**  
**FERC Account 916.600**  
**2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses<sup>229</sup>**  
**(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 916.600</b>	<b>2009 Recorded</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2012</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2012</b>	<b>2012 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2013</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2013</b>	<b>2013 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>
Labor	285	285	196	89	285	196	89
Non-Labor	1,173	1,173	434	739	1,173	434	739
Total	1,458	1,458	630	828	1,458	630	828

11

12  
13

**1. SCE's/DRA's Test Year 2012 and 2013 Forecast for FERC Account 916.600**

14 SCE is requesting \$1.458 million which is the 2009 recorded expenses and  
15 SCE expects to continue at the same activity for 2012 and 2013 for FERC Account  
16 916.600.<sup>230</sup>

17 DRA is recommending \$630,000 which is \$828,000 or 56 percent less than  
18 SCE's requests for TY 2012 and 2013 for FERC Account 916.600. DRA  
19 recommends using the five-year average of 2005 to 2009 recorded expenses to

<sup>228</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 112

<sup>229</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, pp. 119 and 120

<sup>230</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 120

1 forecast TY 2012 and 2013 expenses. DRA's recommendation is \$828,000 or 56  
 2 percent less than SCE's request for TY 2012 and 2013. The 2009 recorded  
 3 expenses included additional expenses as a result of communication preparations to  
 4 inform General Service (GS-1) customers about a shift in their rates from a flat  
 5 energy charge to seasonal pricing in effective 2010.<sup>231</sup> A breakdown of the  
 6 recorded expenses in the following table shows that the recorded labor expenses  
 7 were relatively consistent for 2007 to 2009. The majority of the increase in 2009  
 8 was for customer communications including factsheets, brochures, and letters. DRA  
 9 recommends using the five-year average of recorded expenses to forecast 2012 and  
 10 2013 expenses so that the effect of one-time events such as the GS-1 customer  
 11 communication in 2009 can be lessened.

12 **Table 10-49**  
 13 **FERC Account 916.600**  
 14 **2007 to 2009<sup>232</sup>**  
 15 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

Description	2007	2008	2009
Labor: SCE labor	254	225	284
Non-Labor: Contingent Workers-expenses associated with hiring a technical writer to support rate communication materials.	48	96	40
Employee Related expenses (i.e. mileage/training)	8	7	10
Procurement & materials	13	8	21
Customer Communications (i.e. factsheets, brochures, letters-expenses such as research, planning, creative development, postage, & production)	82	376	1,103
Non-labor total	151	487	1,174
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>405</b>	<b>712</b>	<b>1,458</b>

16

<sup>231</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 119

<sup>232</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-71-SWC, Question 1.a.



1 Table 10-51 presents SCE's and DRA's 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC  
2 Account 920.

3 **Table 10-51**  
4 **FERC Account 920**  
5 **2012 and 2013 Forecasted Expenses<sup>236</sup>**  
6 **(in Thousands of 2009 Dollars)**

<b>FERC Account 920</b>	<b>2009 Recorded</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2012</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2012</b>	<b>2012 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>	<b>SCE Forecast 2013</b>	<b>DRA Forecast 2013</b>	<b>2013 Difference SCE&gt;DRA</b>
Labor	8,549	10,030	7,476	2,554	10,030	7,476	2,554
Non-Labor	2,347	2,594	1,821	773	2,594	1,821	773
Total	10,896	12,624	9,297	3,327	12,624	9,297	3,327

7

8 **1. SCE's Test Year 2012 and 2013 Forecast for FERC**  
9 **Account 920**

10 SCE is requesting \$12.624 million which is \$1.728 million above 2009  
11 recorded expenses for the TY 2012 and 2013 forecasts for FERC Account 920.<sup>237</sup>  
12 SCE used 2009 recorded expenses as the base and added the following  
13 adjustments to forecast TY 2012 and 2013 expenses.

14 The first adjustment is an increase of \$1.151 million for an increase in public  
15 involvement and regional support.<sup>238</sup>

16 The second adjustment is an increase of \$577,000 for project licensing  
17 support.<sup>239</sup>

---

<sup>236</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 136

<sup>237</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 138

<sup>238</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 138

<sup>239</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 3, p. 139

1                                   **2. DRA’s Test Year 2012 and 2013 Forecast for FERC**  
 2                                   **Account 920**

3                   DRA recommends \$9.297 million which is \$3.327 million or 26 percent less  
 4 than SCE’s TY 2012 and 2013 requests for FERC Account 920. DRA recommends  
 5 using the five-year average of 2005 to 2009 recorded expenses to forecast TY 2012  
 6 and 2013 expenses. DRA’s recommendation is \$3.327 million or 26 percent less  
 7 than SCE’s request. The recorded expenses show fluctuations from a low of \$8.422  
 8 million in 2006 to a high of \$10.896 million in 2009. Historical recorded expenses  
 9 serves as a good indicator of future expenses for Local Public Affairs. SCE provides  
 10 examples of LPA work regarding major transmission line relocations will increase  
 11 LPA's workload. However, some of these projects are projects have been ongoing  
 12 since 2005. For example, SCE has been participating in the I-710 Freeway  
 13 Expansion since 2007; the potential need for a new 500kV line from the Hinson to  
 14 Mesa substations since 2008; and the planning of the Renewable Energy Initiative  
 15 (RETI) since 2007.<sup>240</sup> Also, the number of major transmission and substation  
 16 projects that involve LPA increased from one in 2005 to twelve in 2009 as shown in  
 17 the following table. Therefore, the historical recorded expenses provide a good  
 18 indication of the LPA activity level in 2012 and 2013.

19                                   **Table 10-52**  
 20                                   **Local Public Affairs**  
 21                   **Number of Major Transmission & Substation Projects that Involved LPA<sup>241</sup>**  
 22                                   **(2005 to 2009)**

	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>
Number of Major Transmission & Substation Projects that Involved LPA	1	2	4	9	12

23

---

<sup>240</sup> SCE’s response to DRA-SCE-73-SWC, questions 1 and 2

<sup>241</sup> SCE’s response to DRA-SCE-73-SWC, questions 3.c.

1 **IV. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF CSBU CAPITAL EXPENDITURES**

2 CSBU’s capital expenditure forecast includes the capital needs of the Meter  
 3 Services, Energy Center, Call Center, Revenue Services, and Business Customer  
 4 Division. CSBU is also requesting eight capitalized software projects.<sup>242</sup>

5 SCE requests \$69.824 million in 2010, \$72.744 million in 2011, and \$75.141  
 6 million in TY 2012.<sup>243</sup> DRA recommends \$50.768 million for 2010, \$40.159 million  
 7 in 2011, and \$37.462 million in 2012 for CSBU capital expenditures. Table 10-53  
 8 compares DRA’s and SCE’s 2010-2012 forecasts of CSBU capital expenditures:

9 **Table 10-53**  
 10 **CSBU Capital Expenditures for 2010-2012**  
 11 **(In Millions of Nominal Dollars)**

Description	DRA Recommended			SCE Proposed <sup>244</sup>		
	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
Structure & Improvements	2.931 <sup>245</sup>	1.295	2.235	2.125	1.295	5.735
Office Furniture & Equipment	0.671 <sup>246</sup>	0.752	0.752	4.699	4.527	2.100
Specialized Equipment	6.301 <sup>247</sup>	1.212	0.975	1.450	1.212	0.975
Meters	15.552 <sup>248</sup>	11.900	8.500	25.657	24.310	23.321
Capitalized Software	25.313 <sup>249</sup>	25.000	25.000	35.893	41.400	43.010
TOTAL	50.768	40.159	37.462	69.824	72.744	75.141

<sup>242</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 1; Workpapers to Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, Chapter III, pp. 12, 229, 233, 234, 235, 283, 289, 312, 319, and 389

<sup>243</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 3

<sup>244</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 3

<sup>245</sup> SCE’s response to DRA-SCE-226-SWC, question 1

<sup>246</sup> SCE’s response to DRA-SCE-76-SWC, question 4

<sup>247</sup> SCE’s response to DRA-SCE-226-SWC, question 2

<sup>248</sup> SCE’s response to DRA-SCE-112-SWC, question 1.a.

<sup>249</sup> SCE’s response to DRA-SCE-92-SWC, questions 5 to 8

1 Table 10-54 presents SCE's CSBU's forecast for capital expenditures for  
2 2010 through 2014.

3 **Table 10-54**  
4 **Customer Service Business Unit's Capital Requirements**  
5 **SCE's Forecast for 2010 to 2014<sup>250</sup>**  
6 **(Nominal \$ million)**  
7

Description	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Structure & Improvements	2.125	1.295	5.735	2.645	2.210
Office Furniture & Equipment	4.699	4.527	2.100	4.739	4.445
Specialized Equipment	1.450	1.212	0.975	2.430	1.795
Meters	25.657	24.310	23.321	32.309	32.393
Capitalized Software	35.893	41.400	43.010	50.646	31.876
TOTAL	69.824	72.744	75.141	92.769	72.719

8 DRA will address each category of capital expenditures forecasted for 2010 to  
9 2012 where DRA has differences with SCE's forecasts in the following sections.  
10 DRA addresses capital expenditure issues for 2013 and 2014 in Exhibit DRA-21,  
11 Post Test Year Ratemaking.

#### 12 **A. Structures & Improvements**

13 SCE is requesting \$2.125 million in 2010, \$1.295 million in 2011, and \$5.735  
14 million in 2012 for capital expenditures for Structure and Improvements.<sup>251</sup> SCE is  
15 requesting \$3.5 million in 2012 to establish a third Energy Center, either in Orange  
16 County or in an eastern SCE service region.<sup>252</sup> The remainder of the 2012 capital  
17 expenditures request is to upgrade and retrofit projects for the existing two Energy  
18 Centers.

19 DRA is recommending \$2.931 million in 2010, \$1.295 million in 2011, and  
20 \$2.235 million in 2012 for capital expenditures of structures and improvements.

---

<sup>250</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 1; Workpapers to Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, Chapter III, pp. 12, 229, 233, 234, 235, 283, 289, 312, 319, and 389

<sup>251</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 4

<sup>252</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 5

1 DRA is recommending the 2010 recorded capital expenditures for structures and  
2 improvements. DRA accepts the \$1.295 million as requested by SCE for 2011.

3 DRA takes issue with SCE's proposed request of \$3.50 million in 2012 to  
4 establish a third Energy Center. As discussed in Chapter 1, FERC Account  
5 908.610, DRA concludes that the existing two Energy Centers have made seminars  
6 and workshops available for SCE's customers through on-site and off-site locations.  
7 Also, DRA concludes that the alternatives considered by SCE will allow SCE to offer  
8 seminars and workshops to customers without the need for a third Energy Center.

### 9 **B. Office Furniture and Equipment**

10 SCE is requesting \$4.699 million in 2010, \$4.527 million in 2011, and \$2.100  
11 million in 2012.<sup>253</sup> SCE's forecast was developed based on expected furniture and  
12 equipment needs for employee growth, forecast remodels of SCE buildings that  
13 contain CSBU employees, expected ergonomic furniture needs by CSBU  
14 employees, and an assessment of the condition of existing furniture and  
15 equipment.<sup>254</sup>

16 DRA is recommending \$671,000 in 2010, \$752,000 in 2011, and \$752,000 in  
17 2012 for capital expenditures of office furniture and equipment. DRA used SCE's  
18 2010 recorded capital expenditures of \$671,000 for office furniture and equipment  
19 for 2010. DRA used the 2005 to 2009 five-year average of capital expenditures for  
20 office furniture and equipment of \$752,000 to forecast 2011 and 2012 capital  
21 expenditures which is consistent with SCE's 2010 recorded capital expenditures of  
22 \$671,000 for office furniture and equipment.

---

<sup>253</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 7

<sup>254</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 6

1 **C. Meter Capital Requirements**

2 SCE is requesting \$25.657 million 2010, \$24.310 million in 2011, and \$23.321  
 3 million in 2012. <sup>255</sup> The following table provides SCE’s meter capital forecast for  
 4 2010 to 2012.

5 **Table 10-55**  
 6 **Meter Capital Expenditure Forecast** <sup>256</sup>  
 7 **SCE’s Forecast 2010 to 2012**  
 8 **(Nominal \$ Million)**  
 9

Type of Work	SCE Forecast 2010		SCE Forecast 2011		SCE Forecast 2012	
	Volume	Cost	Volume	Cost	Volume	Cost
<b>Routine-Legacy</b>						
Growth	29,799	\$3.975	35,527	\$4.486	46,393	\$5.547
Replacement	108,946	14.112	110,035	14.578	111,135	14.946
Subtotal	138,745	18.088	145,562	19.064	157,529	20.493
<b>Non-Routine</b>						
Infrastructure		5.0		5.0		5.0
RTEM Meter Replacement	1,525	2.106	1,525	2.106	1,525	2.106
Safety/Access	11,185	1.946	11,185	1.946	11,185	1.946
Meter Leasing	25	0.151	25	0.151	25	0.151
ERP Benefit		(0.174)		(0.239)		
2 <sup>nd</sup> Meter Installation for EV Readiness	300	0.134	3,204	1.426	4,578	2.049
HAN Readiness						0.083
SmartConnect Capital Benefits		(1.595)		(5.145)		(8.508)
Subtotal	13,035	7.569	15,939	5.246	17,313	2.829
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>151,780</b>	<b>\$25.657</b>	<b>161,501</b>	<b>\$24.310</b>	<b>174,842</b>	<b>\$23.321</b>

10 SCE states that its metering capital estimates for the 2009 GRC period (2009  
 11 to 2011) were forecasted under the “business as usual” assumption (i.e. without  
 12 Edison SmartConnect). SCE forecasted the 2012 meter capital expenditure  
 13 estimates based on business as usual. <sup>257</sup> The 2010 to 2012 forecast for meter  
 14 capital are based on the quantities of the historical new growth installation rates and

<sup>255</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 11

<sup>256</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 13

<sup>257</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 10

1 the historical replacement rates of legacy electromechanical meters installed prior to  
2 the SmartConnect deployment.<sup>258</sup>

3 SCE continues to install legacy meters during the SmartConnect deployment  
4 period for the following reasons:

- 5 • The SCE districts (geographic areas) where the SmartConnect meter and  
6 communication network has not yet been deployed and the SmartConnect  
7 communication system is not yet functional.
- 8 • In cases where a customer chooses to go on a time-of-use (TOU) rate in an  
9 area where the Edison SmartConnect communication is not yet functional, the  
10 ability to obtain TOU data through the Meter Data Management System has  
11 not yet been established and the only alternative for obtaining TOU data is  
12 through the established legacy metering processes.
- 13 • For the small percentage of accounts for which the Edison SmartConnect  
14 meter form is not yet available.<sup>259</sup>

15 The revenue requirement for the Edison SmartConnect metering capital  
16 additions is being recovered through the Edison SmartConnect Balancing  
17 Account.<sup>260</sup> SCE states that the 2012 capital revenue requirement associated with  
18 the Edison SmartConnect meters should continue to be recovered through the  
19 ESCBA to be consistent with the Edison SmartConnect Settlement Agreement  
20 adopted in D.08-09-039.

21 SCE states that to avoid double recovery, SCE's approach, consistent with  
22 D.08-09-039, is to return the incremental capital benefits to ratepayers, as SCE will  
23 not have to install legacy meters (because Edison SmartConnect meters are being  
24 used instead). As Edison SmartConnect meters are being installed, these capital  
25 benefits are passed through to ratepayers through the Base Revenue Requirement

---

<sup>258</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 10

<sup>259</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-193-SWC, question 7

<sup>260</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 9

1 Balancing Account (BRRBA) and include the elimination of routine legacy meter  
 2 replacements as well as safety access and leased meter installations. SCE  
 3 forecasts capital benefits of \$1.6 million in 2010 and \$5.1 million in 2011. SCE is  
 4 also forecasting \$8.5 million in meter capital benefits in 2012.<sup>261</sup>

5 The following table provides the recorded meter capital expenditures for 2008  
 6 to 2010.

7 **Table 10-56**  
 8 **Recorded Meter Capital Expenditure<sup>262</sup>**  
 9 **SCE's Forecast 2008 to 2010**  
 10 **(Nominal \$ Million)**  
 11

Type of Work	Recorded 2008		Recorded 2009		Recorded 2010	
	Volume	Cost	Volume	Cost	Volume	Cost
<b>Routine-Legacy</b>						
Growth	46,085	4.701	32,217	2.609	25,636	2.703
Replacement	67,972	16.973	61,827	18.400	55,921	13.249
Subtotal	114,057	21.674	94,044	21.009	81,557	15.952
<b>Non-Routine</b>						
Infrastructure			450	0.167	210	0.143
RTEM Meter Replacement						
Safety/Access	31,570	2.243	45,590	2.631	21,134	1.052
Meter Leasing	1	0.001	5	0.002	3	0.001
ERP Benefit						
2 <sup>nd</sup> Meter Installation for EV Readiness	5	0.002	31	0.014	8	0.004
HAN Readiness						
SmartConnect Capital Benefits						
Subtotal	31,576	2.246	46,076	2.814	21,355	1.200
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>145,633</b>	<b>\$23.920</b>	<b>140,120</b>	<b>\$23.824</b>	<b>102,912</b>	<b>\$17.152<sup>263</sup></b>

12 DRA is recommending \$15.552 million for 2010, \$11.90 million for 2011, and  
 13 \$8.50 million in 2012 for meter capital expenditures. SCE forecasts capital benefits  
 14 of \$1.6 million in 2010, \$5.1 million in 2011, and \$8.5 in 2012. SCE had recorded  
 15 meter capital expenditures of \$17.152 million for 2010 (SmartConnect capital

<sup>261</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 11

<sup>262</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-112-SWC, question 1.a.

<sup>263</sup> SmartConnect capital benefits have not been deducted.

1 benefits have not been deducted). DRA is recommending \$15.552 million for 2010  
 2 meter capital expenditures by accepting SCE’s recorded meter capital expenditures  
 3 of \$17.152 million for 2010 and reducing it by the Edison SmartConnect capital  
 4 benefits of \$1.6 million.

5 To forecast SCE’s meter capital expenditures for 2011 and 2012, DRA  
 6 reduced the 2010 recorded capital expenditures of \$17 million by the Edison  
 7 SmartConnect capital benefits of \$5.1 million for 2011 and \$8.5 million for 2012.  
 8 The following table provides comparison of DRA’s and SCE’s meter capital  
 9 expenditures for 2010 to 2012.

10 **Table 10-57**  
 11 **Comparison of DRA’s and SCE’s Meter Capital Expenditures for 2010-2012**  
 12 **(In Millions of Nominal Dollars)**

Description	DRA Recommended			SCE Proposed <sup>264</sup>		
	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
Metering Equipment	17.152 <sup>265</sup>	17.000	17.000	27.251	29.454	31.828
SmartConnect Capital Benefits	1.600	5.100	8.500	1.595	5.145	8.508
Total	15.552	11.900	8.500	25.657	24.310	23.321

13 DRA’s recommendations for the 2010 to 2012 meter capital expenditures  
 14 forecasts are reasonable. During 2010 to 2012, SCE is receiving capital-related  
 15 revenue requirement for electromechanical (legacy) meters recovered through the  
 16 “business as usual” GRC forecast as well as receiving capital-related revenue  
 17 requirement for SmartConnect meters recovered through the ESCBA. First, DRA  
 18 takes into account the fact that some legacy meters can be re-used and eliminates  
 19 the need to purchase new legacy meters during 2010 to 2012. SCE itself states:

20 “Some legacy meters could be reused for routine legacy meter growth  
 21 and replacement during 2009 to 2012. However, SCE is using new or  
 22 recycled meters from existing meter inventory for routine legacy meter

<sup>264</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 13

<sup>265</sup> SCE’s response to DRA-SCE-112-SWC, question 1.a.

1 growth and replacement, and is salvaging the meters that are being  
2 replaced by Edison SmartConnect meters...All newly purchased  
3 legacy meters are placed in the legacy meter inventory and all new  
4 and replacement legacy meters come out of existing inventory. SCE  
5 does not track how many of the 25,636 legacy meters installed for  
6 routine customer growth in 2010 or the 55,921 legacy meters installed  
7 for routine replacement in 2010 were newly purchased or how many  
8 came from the existing meter inventory. Existing legacy meter  
9 inventory includes some reused meters; however, none of the legacy  
10 replaced with Edison SmartConnect meters are returned to the existing  
11 meter inventory. The existing legacy meter inventory is being depleted  
12 as the Edison SmartConnect deployment process continues."<sup>266</sup>

13 Second, SCE provided the invoices to support approximately \$2.349 million  
14 or 15 percent of the \$15.952 million of the routine legacy meter costs recorded in  
15 2010.<sup>267</sup> SCE claims that it does not track how many of the 25,636 legacy meters  
16 installed for routine customer growth in 2010 or the 55,921 legacy meters installed  
17 for routine replacement in 2010 were newly purchased or how many came from the  
18 existing meter inventory. DRA's recommends a forecast of \$15.552 million for 2010,  
19 \$11.90 million for 2011, and \$8.50 million in 2012 for meter capital expenditures.

## 20 **D. Capitalized Software**

21 SCE is requesting \$33.883 million in 2010, \$41.400 million in 2011, and  
22 \$43.010 million in 2012, \$50.646 million in 2013, and \$31.876 million in 2014 for a  
23 total of \$200.815 million for CSBU capitalized software projects.<sup>268</sup> SCE is  
24 proposing the following CSBU Capital System Projects:

- 25 • Alerts and Notifications
- 26 • Interactive Voice Response-Advanced Speech Recognition
- 27 • Customer Relationship Management

---

<sup>266</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-224-SWC, questions 1.a. and 1.d.

<sup>267</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-224-SWC, question 1.e.

<sup>268</sup> Workpapers to Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, Chapter III, pp. 12, 229, 233, 234, 235, 283, 289, 312, 319, and 389



1 DRA's recommendation for the 2011 and 2012 forecasts of capitalized  
2 software projects is based on DRA's review of some of SCE's proposed capitalized  
3 software projects. Also, some of SCE's proposed capitalized software projects are  
4 the result of the Edison SmartConnect program and SCE has spent approximately  
5 42 percent of the total authorized funding for the Edison SmartConnect program as  
6 of December 31, 2010.<sup>271</sup>

7 DRA discusses the capitalized software projects that DRA has differences  
8 with SCE below. Although DRA does not discuss some of CSBU's capitalized  
9 software projects requested in 2011 and 2012, this does not necessarily mean that  
10 DRA approves of those capital projects.

### 11 **1. Alerts & Notifications Project**

12 DRA takes issue with SCE's Alerts and Notifications project. SCE is  
13 requesting \$9.330 million in 2012 for the project. SCE claims that the Alerts and  
14 Notifications project will automate the delivery of information to help customers  
15 manage their bills and payments; prepare for planned outages; and adopt a smart  
16 energy lifestyle through Dynamic Pricing, demand response and energy efficiency  
17 programs.<sup>272</sup> SCE claims that its customer base is becoming more dependent on  
18 electronic means of information exchange with SCE and that this project will  
19 implement an integrated, automated method for SCE to send electronic  
20 communications to customer through various channels, one-way or two-way (e.g.  
21 inbound response or inquiry from customers). SCE states that its current system of  
22 electronic alerts and notifications meets its regulatory or business requirements  
23 today but wants a more effective approach.<sup>273</sup>

---

<sup>271</sup> Edison SmartConnect, Quarter Program Update, March 25, 2011, p. 6 shows that SCE spent \$690 million of the \$1.634 billion authorized in D.08-09-039.

<sup>272</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 20

<sup>273</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 20

1 Currently, SCE has the following Alerts and Notification systems:

- 2 • The Varolii/Outage Notification Communications (ONC) system which is an  
3 in-house application that SCE developed with the Varolii Corporation. The  
4 messages can be delivered by email, voice, pager/Short Message Service  
5 (SMS) and fax. This system manages the event notifications for Demand  
6 Bidding Program, Critical Peak Pricing Program, Base Interruptible Program,  
7 and Agricultural Pumping and Interruptible Program. This system also sends  
8 messages to customer groups that are notified of rotating outages such as  
9 medical baseline customers, public affairs stakeholders, major customers  
10 with demand greater than 300 kW, subtransmission customers, and qualified  
11 net generator customers. The Varolii/ONC system was implemented in 2008  
12 as a component of the Demand Bidding program for the Advanced Metering  
13 OIR. This system cost \$321,000 in 2003 for the initial implementation and an  
14 additional \$209,000 in upgrades during subsequent years. The annual  
15 vendor service contract is estimated to be \$182,500.
- 16 • First Call is an outsourced vendor service that is the backup to Varolii/ONC  
17 system in case of system failure since 2001 on an as-needed basis. This  
18 system has email, pager, and text capabilities.
- 19 • SCE.com has an application within MyAccount capability to notify customers  
20 when their bill is ready and when their payment has been received. There is  
21 a plan to expand it to include Unplanned Outage Notification in 2011 for  
22 business customers only. The “Bill-ready” notification was implemented in  
23 2004 as part of the SCE.com MyAccount redesign effort and costs \$1 million.  
24 The “Payment Received” notification was implemented in 2009 and cost  
25 \$719,000 in 2009.
- 26 • The Interactive Voice Response (IVR) has been integrated with the  
27 Customer Service System (CSS) to facilitate outbound calls for credit  
28 collections. The IVR is also integrated with the CSS which receives  
29 information from the Outage Management System to facilitate outbound calls  
30 for power outage status and outage restoration. The IVR system tracks  
31 planned outage schedules and manages communication to customers

1 including post cards, in-field communication door hangers, day-before  
2 reminder and cancellation calls. The IVR system was first implemented in  
3 1995 at an approximate cost of \$177,000 and has been upgraded through  
4 the years.<sup>274</sup>

5 SCE states, “Currently, SCE is using some electronic alerts and notifications.  
6 These systems have been built over time to support existing programs and services.  
7 However, because the systems were created separately and at different times, for  
8 various purposes, little coordination occurs between these systems today. Current  
9 systems are not integrated or scalable for the volume of electronic alerts and  
10 notifications expected in the near future, and may not support new technologies  
11 such as SMS texting.”<sup>275</sup> However, SCE has not performed any studies or  
12 analyses on the current systems managing alerts and notifications to support this  
13 statement.<sup>276</sup> SCE’s current systems meet SCE’s regulatory or business  
14 requirement today. SCE is speculating that its current systems cannot handle future  
15 needs without any analyses on its current systems. The Varolii/ONC system since  
16 2003, the SCE.com since 2004, and the IVR since 1995 have performed the  
17 electronic alerts and notifications requirements. SCE has not justified its request for  
18 \$9.330 million in 2012 for the Alerts and Notifications project.

## 19 **2. Dynamic Pricing Project**

20 DRA is recommending the recorded capital expenditures of \$55,000 for 2010  
21 for the Dynamic Pricing Project. SCE had forecasted \$3.730 million in 2010 for the  
22 Dynamic Pricing Project. SCE is requesting \$17 million in 2011 and \$16 million in  
23 2012 for this project.

---

<sup>274</sup> SCE’s response to DRA-SCE-92-SWC, questions 1.a. to 1.c.

<sup>275</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 20

<sup>276</sup> SCE’s response to DRA-SCE-92-SWC, question 1.d.

1 DRA recommends no funding in 2011 and 2012 for the Dynamic Pricing  
2 capital project. SCE has no support for SCE's request for \$17 million in 2011 and  
3 \$16 million in 2012. SCE has not issued any Request for Proposals as of January  
4 2011 to external vendors or worked with external vendor to perform work for the  
5 Dynamic Pricing project in 2010.<sup>277</sup>

6 SCE's minimum activity on the Dynamic Pricing capital project may indicate  
7 that its schedule to implement Dynamic Pricing may be delayed. SCE has not filed a  
8 request for an extension of time to implement Dynamic Pricing. However, Pacific  
9 Gas and Electric Company filed a Request for Extension of Time to Implement Time-  
10 Varying Pricing per D.10-02-032 on April 21, 2011. This shows that a comparable  
11 utility requiring additional time to implement Dynamic Pricing may indicate that  
12 Dynamic Pricing in itself is more complicated than anticipated, and therefore would  
13 also impact SCE's Dynamic Pricing implementation schedule.

### 14 **3. Home Area Network Support & Troubleshooting** 15 **Project**

16 SCE is requesting \$8.3 million in capital expenditures for the HAN project in  
17 2012. SCE states that the focus of this project is two-fold. First, this project will be  
18 delivered as part of SCE's Edison SmartConnect project. Second, this project will  
19 design and implement new system functionality that was not envisioned at the time  
20 of the Edison SmartConnect business case.<sup>278</sup>

21 DRA takes issue with the \$8.3 million in capital expenditures for the HAN  
22 project in 2012 and recommends no ratepayer funding. DRA is recommending no  
23 HAN expenses because SCE states that the implementation of the PCT program  
24 has been postponed due to an unanticipated delay in the Advanced Load Control  
25 System (ALCS) technology, and the provisions of IHDs, which has been postponed  
26 due to a delay in the adoption of the Smart Energy Profile 2.0 HAN national

---

<sup>277</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-96-SWC, questions 1.c. and 1.d.

<sup>278</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 54

1 standard.<sup>279</sup> SCE has proposed to modify ESCBA so that costs authorized in D.  
2 08-09-039 for HAN functionalities related to the PCT program remain in operation for  
3 purposes of recording authorized costs through 2014 because these costs are  
4 expected to be incurred in 2013 and 2014. SCE states that the implementation of  
5 the PCT program has been postponed due to an unanticipated delay in the  
6 Advanced Load Control System (ALCS) technology, and the provisions of IHDs,  
7 which has been postponed due to a delay in the adoption of the Smart Energy  
8 Profile 2.0 HAN national standard (see DRA's discussion above in connection with  
9 FERC Account 901).<sup>280</sup>

#### 10 **4. Plug-In Electric Vehicles Support Systems**

11 SCE is requesting \$2.0 million of capital expenditures for the PEV project in  
12 2012. SCE states that to support the forecasted enrollment rates for PEVs, SCE  
13 claims it must make upgrades to SCE.com, Electric Service Planning's Design  
14 Manager System, and MSO's Field Automated Test System.<sup>281</sup>

15 DRA takes issue with the \$2.0 million of capital expenditures for the PEV  
16 project in 2012. DRA's discussion for FERC Account 901 discusses DRA's reasons  
17 for objecting to SCE's requested PEV costs. For example, based on the 100 PEVs  
18 in SCE's service area as of February 2011, DRA concludes that SCE's forecast of  
19 21,090 PEVs in its service area by the end of 2012 is unlikely. Also, SCE already  
20 has embedded costs for PEV Readiness recorded in 2009.

---

<sup>279</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 1, p. 30

<sup>280</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 1, p. 30

<sup>281</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 64

1 **V. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF OTHER OPERATING REVENUES**  
 2 **AND GAINS/LOSSES ON SALE OF PROPERTY**

3 The following table compares DRA's and SCE's TY2012 forecasts of CSBU-  
 4 related Other Operating Revenues (OOR) and Gains/Losses on Sale of Property:

5 **Table 10-59**  
 6 **CSBU Other Operating Revenues and**  
 7 **Gains/Losses on Sale of Property for TY2012**  
 8 **(In Thousands of Dollars)**

Description (a)	DRA Recommended (b)	SCE Proposed <sup>282</sup> (c)	Amount DRA>SCE (d=b-c)	Percentage DRA>SCE (e=d/c)
FERC Account 450	22,288	21,620	668	3%
FERC Account 451	19,806	15,166	4,640	30.5%
FERC Account 454	0	0	0	0%
FERC Account 456	129	129	0	0%
FERC Account 456.945/6	168	168	0	0%
FERC Account 456.456.924	700	700	0	0%
FERC Account 456.995-Off- Grid Photovoltaic	0	0	0	0%
<b>Total CSBU OOR</b>	<b>43,091</b>	<b>37,783</b>	<b>5,308</b>	<b>14%</b>
<b>Gains/(Loss) on Sale of Property</b>	<b>1,788</b>	<b>713</b>	<b>1,075</b>	<b>151%</b>

9  
 10 **A. Other Operating Revenues**

11 SCE is forecasting \$37.783 million in TY 2012 for Other Operating Revenues  
 12 (OOR) for Customer Service Operations based on SCE's proposed service fees,  
 13 compared to \$59.014 million per year based on the fees authorized in its 2009 GRC.  
 14 SCE states that the proposed decrease in OOR is primarily the result of the Edison  
 15 SmartConnect system's capability to remotely disconnect and reconnect electric  
 16 service for most residential customers which eliminates the need for a field visit in  
 17 most instances.<sup>283</sup> SCE states that the field visit/service order is a major  
 18 component of the present day cost to provide new service connections, service

<sup>282</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 90

<sup>283</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 89

1 transfers, and credit related disconnections and reconnections. The costs and cost  
2 savings that result from the use of the RSS affect FERC Accounts 451.200 through  
3 451.600.<sup>284</sup>

4 DRA is recommending a forecast of \$43.091 million of CSBU OOR which is  
5 \$5.308 million or 14 percent above SCE's forecast for CSBU OOR for TY 2012.  
6 DRA does not oppose SCE's proposed decrease in OOR as a result of the Edison  
7 SmartConnect system's capability to remotely disconnect and reconnect electric  
8 service for most residential customers which eliminates the need for a field visit in  
9 most instances.

10 In order for SCE's new proposed service fee rates to be effective, the  
11 Commission's 2012 GRC decision needs to authorize the new service fees. The  
12 2006 GRC D.06-05-016 was adopted on May 11, 2006 and the 2009 GRC decision  
13 D.09-03-025 was adopted on March 12, 2009. Based on the adoption dates of the  
14 2006 GRC and the 2009 GRC, DRA anticipates that the Commission will not likely  
15 adopt a decision for the 2012 GRC before the first quarter of 2012. Until the  
16 Commission adopts the 2012 GRC decision, SCE will be charging service fees  
17 authorized from the 2009 GRC decision.

18 The 2012 OOR forecast based on the currently authorized fees will remain in  
19 effect for the first quarter of 2012 and SCE will collect service fees based on these  
20 currently authorized fees. DRA forecasts that SCE will collect 25 percent of SCE's  
21 2012 OOR forecast based on the currently authorized fees during the first quarter of  
22 2012 and that SCE will collect 75 percent of SCE's 2012 OOR forecast based on  
23 SCE's proposed service fees at the lower rates during the remaining three quarters  
24 of 2012. Therefore, DRA is recommending a forecast of \$43.091 million of CSBU  
25 OOR for TY 2012.

---

<sup>284</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, pp. 91 to 92

1 The following table provides a comparison of SCE's and DRA's forecasts for  
 2 CSBU OOR.

3 **Table 10-60**  
 4 **SCE's and DRA's Forecasts for CSBU OOR**  
 5 **2009**  
 6 **(Nominal \$000)**

Description	SCE 2012 Forecast based on currently authorized rates <sup>285</sup>	SCE 2012 Forecast based on Proposed new fees <sup>286</sup>	DRA	DRA>SCE
450.100-Late Payment Charge-Non-Residential	9,998	8,898	9,173	275
450.150-Late Payment-Residential	14,294	12,722	13,115	393
450.200-Collection Agency Interest	0	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal 450</b>	<b>24,292</b>	<b>21,620</b>	<b>22,288</b>	<b>668</b>
451.110-Returned Check Charge	1,417	1,260	1,299	39
451.120-Lender Verification Revenue	0	0	0	0
451.200-Reconnection Charge	7,031	n/a	1,758	1,758
451.250-Service Establishment Charge	17,719	n/a	4,430	4,430
451.300-Connection Charge-Residential	0	9,267	6,950	(2,317)
451.310-Connection Charge-Non-Residential	0	4,186	3,140	(104)
451.320-Connection Charge-at Pole	0	69	52	(17)
451.600-Field Assignment Charge	7,172	n/a	1,793	1,793
451.780-Misc. Revenue-Recovery Unauthorized Use Non-Energy	385	385	385	0
<b>Subtotal 451</b>	<b>33,724</b>	<b>15,166</b>	<b>19,806</b>	<b>4,640</b>
454.100-Meter Leasing	0	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal 454</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
456.401-Direct Access Services	127	127	127	0
456.402-Direct Access Service Charge	0	0	0	0
456.948-Service Fee-Optimal Billing Period	1	1	1	0
456.412-Community Choice Aggregation	0	0	0	0
456.415-Manufactured Home Billing Service	2	2	2	0
<b>Subtotal 456</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL Customer Service Operations</b>	<b>58,146</b>	<b>36,915</b>	<b>42,223</b>	<b>5,308</b>
456.945/6-SCE Energy Manager	168	168	168	0
456.456.924-Energy Related Services (ERS)	700	700	700	0
456.995-Off-Grid Photovoltaic	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL CS&amp;I</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>868</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>TOTAL CSBU OOR</b>	<b>59,014</b>	<b>37,783</b>	<b>43,091</b>	<b>5,308</b>

7

<sup>285</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 90

<sup>286</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 4, p. 90



1  
2  
3

**Table 10-62**  
**Allocation of Customer Gains/Losses Using a 3-Year Average<sup>290</sup>**  
**Depreciable/Non-Depreciable**

	Customer Gain/(Loss)				Total
	Depreciable Assets		Non-Depreciable Assets		
Year	\$	%	\$	%	
2007	819,540	100.2%	(1,497.73)	-0.2%	818,043
2008	20,357	31.5%	44,174.44	68.5%	64,531
2009	383,944	22.5%	1,322,468.29	77.5%	1,706,412
Total	1,223,841	47.3%	1,365,145	52.7%	2,588,986
3 Year Average	407,947	51.4%	455,048	48.6%	862,995
Allocation	100%		67%		
<b>Allocated Gain/(Loss)</b>	<b>407,947</b>		<b>304,882</b>		<b>712,829</b>

4           DRA is forecasting \$1.788 million of gains on minor sales of property for TY  
5 2012 which is \$1.075 million or 151 percent above SCE’s forecast. DRA proposes  
6 using a five-year recorded average to estimate annual customer gains/losses.  
7 DRA’s recommendation is consistent with SCE recorded gains on minor sales of  
8 property of \$1.706 million in 2009.<sup>291</sup> The following tables provide the two step  
9 process to calculate the gains/losses on minor sales of property by using a five-year  
10 recorded average.

<sup>290</sup> Exhibit SCE-10, Volume 2, p. 18

<sup>291</sup> Exhibit SCE-10, Volume 2, p. 71

1  
2  
3

**Table 10-63**  
**Allocation of Gains/Losses Using a 5-Year Average<sup>292</sup>**  
**Customer/Shareholder**

Year	Gain/(Loss) Customer \$	%	Gain/(Loss) Shareholder \$	%	Gain/(Loss) Total \$
2005	6,723,314	58.3%	4,811,561	41.7%	11,534,875
2006	3,513,615	95.2%	176,838	4.8%	3,690,453
2007	818,043	100.0%	----	0.0%	818,043
2008	64,531	102.2%	(1,407)	-2.2%	63,125
2009	1,706,412	93.7%	114,205	6.3%	1,820,617
<b>Total</b>	<b>12,825,914</b>		<b>5,101,198</b>		<b>17,927,112</b>

4  
5  
6

**Table 10-64**  
**Allocation of Customer Gains/Losses Using a 5-Year Average<sup>293</sup>**  
**Depreciable/Non-Depreciable**

Year	Customer Gain/(Loss)				Total
	Depreciable Assets		Non-Depreciable Assets		
	\$	%	\$	%	
2005	(171,483)	-2.6%	6,894,796	102.6%	6,723,314
2006	---	0%	3,513,615	100.0%	3,513,615
2007	819,540	100.2%	(1,497.73)	-0.2%	818,043
2008	20,357	31.5%	44,174.44	68.5%	64,531
2009	383,944	22.5%	1,322,468.29	77.5%	1,706,412
Total	1,052,358	8.2%	11,773,556	91.8%	12,825,914
5 Year Average	210,472	30.3%	2,354,711	69.7%	2,565,183
Allocation	100%		67%		
<b>Allocated Gain/(Loss)</b>	<b>210,472</b>		<b>1,577,675</b>		<b>1,788,128</b>

7

<sup>292</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-30-SWC, question 1.b.

<sup>293</sup> SCE's response to DRA-SCE-30-SWC, question 1.c.

1 **VI. COST RECOVERY OF RETIRED METERS**

2 **A. Background**

3 SCE filed Application (A.) 07-07-026 seeking authorization of its Advanced  
4 Metering Infrastructure (AMI) deployment activities and associated cost recovery  
5 mechanism on July 31, 2007.<sup>294</sup> As a result of A.07-07-026, Decision (D) 08-09-  
6 039 resolved that Application and approved a settlement proposed by SCE and DRA  
7 to allow \$1.63 billion in ratepayer funding for SCE's proposed Advanced Metering  
8 Infrastructure (AMI) Project from 2008 through 2012.<sup>295</sup> The settlement adopted  
9 SCE's proposal by which SCE will recover the costs of deployment through a  
10 balancing account mechanism, with the revenue requirement allocated among  
11 customer classes according to the proposed distribution allocator SCE proposed in  
12 A. 07-07-026.<sup>296</sup>

13 SCE began deployment of its SmartConnect meter program in 2008 to all  
14 metered accounts in its service territory with demands less than 200 kW  
15 (approximately 5.3 million meters) and anticipates that its SmartConnect meter  
16 program will be fully deployed by the end of 2012.<sup>297</sup> SCE established the Edison  
17 SmartConnect balancing account (ESCBA) to record SmartConnect-related costs  
18 and benefits.<sup>298</sup>

19 As SCE deploys its SmartConnect meters through its service territory, SCE  
20 must retire the replaced legacy electromechanical (legacy) meters. If the legacy  
21 meters had not been replaced and retired by SCE's SmartConnect meters, then the

---

<sup>294</sup> D.08-09-039, p. 5

<sup>295</sup> D.08-09-039, p. 2

<sup>296</sup> D.08-09-039, p.13

<sup>297</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 1, p. 1

<sup>298</sup> Exhibit SCE-4, Volume 1, p. 1

1 legacy meters would continue to remain in service. Decision 08-09-039 does not  
2 specifically address the ratemaking for the retired legacy meters. SCE continues to  
3 deduct the original cost of the legacy meters from both plant in service balance and  
4 the depreciation reserve balance after the meters are retired and removed from  
5 useful service. Therefore, the undepreciated balance of the legacy meters is  
6 currently being amortized over the estimated remaining life of the legacy meters.  
7 The unamortized balance being included as an element of rate base and earning the  
8 full authorized rate of return. This would mean that there would be no effect on rate  
9 base compared to what would occur if the legacy meters had continued to be used  
10 and useful and were not replaced by SCE's SmartConnect meters.

11 In Pacific Gas and Electric Company's (PG&E's) General Rate Case  
12 Application 09-12-020, the issue of future recovery associated with legacy meters  
13 which are no longer used and useful was litigated. The Proposed Decision (PD) in  
14 A. 09-12-020 did not speculate as to why parties did not choose to litigate the  
15 ratemaking of the retired legacy meters in either of PG&E's earlier AMI proceedings.  
16 The fact is that the ratemaking for the retired legacy meters is important and  
17 relevant, and the Commission likely did not fully understand and consider the  
18 ramifications in PG&E's AMI proceedings.<sup>299</sup>

19 The issue that SCE's retired legacy meters are no longer used and useful  
20 also applies in SCE's SmartConnect meter deployment and this current GRC.  
21 Similar to PG&E's AMI proceedings, no party specifically addressed the future  
22 ratemaking treatment associated with the retirement of the legacy meters in SCE's  
23 AMI proceeding.

24 SCE's legacy meters are no longer used and useful and should not receive a  
25 return on these meters. DRA recommends that these legacy meters are no longer  
26 used and useful and should be excluded from rate base and excluded from earning  
27 a rate of return. DRA's recommendation is supported by prior Commission  
28 decisions.

---

<sup>299</sup> Proposed Decision for A.09-12-20, p. 40

1 In D.84-09-089, the Commission stated:

2 “Over the years, this Commission has closely adhered to the “used and  
3 useful” principle, which requires that utility property be actually in use  
4 and providing service in order to be included in the utility’s ratebase.  
5 We have regularly applied this principle to exclude from ratebase any  
6 construction work in progress, and have removed from ratebase plant  
7 which has ceased to be used and useful.”<sup>300</sup>

8 In D.85-08-046, the Commission focused on who should bear the burden of  
9 unrecovered costs in the Humboldt Bay plant retirement and, in rejecting PG&E’s  
10 attempt to bring other power plants that may have operated for longer and intended  
11 into consideration, the Commission stated:

12 “With respect to PG&E’s equity argument, we observe that plants  
13 which have exceeded their estimated useful lives have been fully  
14 depreciated. Thus, the shareholder already has recovered his entire  
15 investment and a fair return on that investment from the ratepayer.  
16 The ratepayer who has paid for the entire plant is entitled to receive  
17 any additional benefit from the plant’s continued operation. In the case  
18 of a premature retirement, the ratepayer typically still pays for all of the  
19 plant’s direct cost even though the plant did not operate as long as was  
20 expected. The shareholder recovers his investment but should not  
21 receive any return on the undepreciated plant. This is a fair division of  
22 risks and benefits.”<sup>301</sup>

23 In D.85-12-108 regarding SD&E’s proposal to store power plants that could  
24 no longer be operated economically, the Commission determined that as to those  
25 plants likely to remain retired, there should be a sharing of the burden, stating:

26 “The specific ratemaking treatment for these plants will essentially  
27 follow the suggestion of UCAN. The UCAN position is that the  
28 undepreciated balance of the prematurely retired plants be amortized  
29 over five years with no return earned. The FEA recommended a  
30 longer period – nine years of three rate cases. We find that the UCAN  
31 has shown that the two rate case periods or about five years provides

---

<sup>300</sup> Proposed Decision to A.09-12-020, p. 49

<sup>301</sup> Proposed Decision to A.09-12-020, pp. 46 and 47

1 an appropriate sharing of the burden between the ratepayers and  
2 shareholders.”<sup>302</sup>

3 In D.92-12-057, the case of the Geysers Unit 15 premature retirement, the  
4 Commission relied on the Humboldt Bay plant retirement as a precedent in ruling  
5 that PG&E could not offset the shorter life of Unit 15 against other plants having a  
6 longer life, using rules of group accounting. The Commission did offer that PG&E  
7 could raise the group accounting argument later, if it could make a stronger showing.  
8 The Commission also states, “. . . We once again endorse our longstanding  
9 regulatory principle that shareholders should earn a return only on used and useful  
10 plant . . .” PG&E was authorized a four-year amortization for the remaining net plant  
11 cost, with no return on the unamortized balance.<sup>303</sup>

12 The recorded plant balance for the legacy meters as of December 31, 2010  
13 was \$321.814 million.<sup>304</sup> The depreciation reserve for the legacy meters as of  
14 December 31, 2010 is \$13.115 million.<sup>305</sup> Therefore, the net plant balance (plant  
15 balance less depreciation reserve) on December 31, 2010, was \$308.699 million.

## 16 **B. DRA’s Primary Recommendation**

17 DRA recommends that the net plant balance of \$308.699 million be amortized  
18 over six years with no rate of return, resulting in a rate recovery of the undepreciated  
19 portion of the legacy meters at six equal amounts of \$52.041 million for each year  
20 from 2012 to 2017 after the gross up for franchise fees and uncollectibles.

21 DRA also recommends that the rate recovery of the undepreciated portion of  
22 the legacy meters over the six-year amortization should not receive escalation or

---

<sup>302</sup> Proposed Decision to A.09-12-020, p. 46

<sup>303</sup> Proposed Decision to A.09-12-020, p. 47

<sup>304</sup> SCE’s response to DRA-SCE-193-SWC, question 1

<sup>305</sup> SCE’s response to DRA-SCE-193-SWC, question 4

1 attrition increases. This is because the amortization was developed separately from  
2 the base margin revenues.

### 3 **C. DRA's Alternate Position**

4 If the Commission believes SCE should receive some rate of return on the  
5 undepreciated legacy meters, then DRA recommends using an annual interest rate  
6 of 4.5 percent over an amortization period of six years beginning in 2012 and ending  
7 in 2017. The rate of return of 4.5 percent is the five-year average forecasts (2012 to  
8 2016) of the 5-Year U.S. Treasury Note Yield which closely corresponds to DRA's  
9 proposed six year amortization period.<sup>306</sup> The following discussion highlights past  
10 Commission decisions that support a reduced rate of return on the unamortized  
11 balance:

- 12 • **D.92-08-036** – The Commission adopted a settlement between SCE,  
13 SDG&E and DRA which allowed a 48 month amortization of remaining  
14 investment in San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Unit 1 (SONGS 1).  
15 After shutdown of SONGS 1, the remaining unamortized investment was  
16 allowed to earn a rate of return, which after taxes, was fixed at the then  
17 current authorized embedded cost of debt.<sup>307</sup>
- 18 • **D.95-12-063** – Regarding electric industry restructuring, the Commission  
19 determined that transition cost recovery for remaining net investment  
20 should be at a reduced rate of return. The Commission noted that  
21 “Allowing recovery of remaining net investment associated with SONGS 1  
22 plant at the embedded cost of debt was reasonable at the time, given the  
23 risks faced by the utilities under the then-current regulatory structure.  
24 However, today’s decision decreases the risk associated with recovery of  
25 remaining net investment (now part of transition costs), due to imposition

---

<sup>306</sup> March 2011 IHS Global Insight, U.S. Economic Outlook Financial Markets, Table 1, Interest Rates, Money, and Financial Variables, p. 19.

<sup>307</sup> Proposed Decision to A.09-12-020, pp. 42 and 43

1 of a nonbypassable charge on distribution system customers (as  
2 described in greater detail below) which decreases utility business risk.  
3 We will adopt 90% of the embedded cost of debt as a reasonable rate of  
4 return on the equity portion of the net book value to reflect the reduced  
5 risk. We will set the return on the debt portion of net book value at the  
6 embedded cost of debt.”<sup>308</sup>

7 • **D.97-11-074** – Regarding electric restructuring, the Commission stated,  
8 “In allowing the recovery of generation plant-related transition costs, we  
9 have, in effect, allowed the utilities to recover costs of plants that may no  
10 longer be used and useful in the new competitive marketplace.”<sup>309</sup>

11 • **D.96-01-011** – Consistent with D.95-12-063, the Commission adopted the  
12 same recovery of 90% of the embedded cost of debt as a reasonable rate  
13 of return on the equity portion of the net book value regarding Incremental  
14 Cost Incentive Pricing (ICIP) pricing for SONGS 2 and 3. The  
15 Commission noted, “In D.95-12-063, we propose a general policy for  
16 stranded cost recovery. There we decided that while use of debt-return is  
17 appropriate for the debt component of a stranded investment, a return of  
18 90% of the debt return is appropriate for the non-debt (i.e., equity) share of  
19 the stranded investment. . .”<sup>310</sup>

20 DRA recommends that the net plant balance of \$308.699 million be amortized  
21 over 72 months at an annual interest rate of 4.5 percent which yields equal amounts  
22 of \$4.9 million per month for six years. Therefore, DRA’s alternative  
23 recommendation results in a rate recovery of the undepreciated portion of the legacy

---

<sup>308</sup> Proposed Decision to A.09-12-020, pp. 43

<sup>309</sup> Proposed Decision to A.09-12-020, pp. 43

<sup>310</sup> Proposed Decision to A.09-12-020, pp. 43 and 44

1 meters at equal amounts of \$59.479 million for each year from 2012 to 2017 after  
2 the gross up for franchise fees and uncollectibles.

3 DRA also recommends that the rate recovery of the undepreciated portion of  
4 the legacy meters over the six-year amortization should not receive escalation or  
5 attrition increases. This is because the amortization was developed separately from  
6 the base margin revenues.