

Docket : A.12-05-006  
Exhibit Number : DRA-02  
Commissioner : Florio  
ALJ : Barnett  
Witness : Jaeger



**DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES  
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

**Report on the Results of Operations  
for  
West Coast Gas Company  
General Rate Case  
Test Year 2013**

**Operating Expenses, Uncollectibles,  
Cost of Capital, and Attrition**

San Francisco, California  
October 23, 2012

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS.....	1
III. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF OPERATING EXPENSES.....	2
A. Overview of WCG’s Request.....	2
B. DRA’s Analysis .....	3
1. WCG Has Been Granting Significant Wage Increases to its Distribution Maintenance Employees .....	5
2. DRA’s Forecasting Methodology for Operating Expenses.....	6
3. FERC Account 920 – A&G Salaries.....	7
4. FERC Account 926 – Pensions & Benefits .....	8
IV. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF UNCOLLECTIBLES .....	8
A. Overview of WCG’s Request.....	8
B. DRA’s Analysis .....	9
V. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF COST OF CAPITAL .....	9
A. Overview of WCG’s Request.....	9
B. DRA’s Analysis .....	10
1. Capital Structure .....	10
2. Cost of Long-Term Debt .....	10
3. Return on Common Equity.....	10
4. Weighted Cost of Capital .....	11
VI. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF ATTRITION.....	12
A. Overview of WCG’s Request.....	12
B. DRA’s Analysis .....	12
VII. WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS.....	14

1 **Operating Expenses, Uncollectibles,**  
2 **Cost of Capital, and Attrition**

3 **I. INTRODUCTION**

4 This exhibit presents the analyses and recommendations of the Division of  
5 Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) regarding West Coast Gas Company's (WCG)  
6 forecasts of Test Year (TY) 2013 operating expenses, uncollectibles, and cost of  
7 capital, as well as WCG's proposed attrition mechanism for post-test years 2014,  
8 2015, and 2016.

9 **II. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS**

10 For Operating Expenses, DRA recommends:

- 11 • That the forecast for the majority of TY2013 operating expenses be based  
12 on a five-year average of historical expenses from 2007-2011, escalated  
13 to 2013 levels.
- 14 • That the forecast of TY2013 FERC Account 920 - Administrative &  
15 General (A&G) Salaries, be based on recorded base year 2011 expenses  
16 plus the incremental cost associated with converting a part-time employee  
17 to a full-time basis.
- 18 • That the forecast for TY2013 A&G expenses use Global Insight's  
19 forecasted rates for escalating health care benefits (health care, dental,  
20 and vision services) expenses in FERC Account 926 Pension and  
21 Benefits.

22 For Uncollectibles, DRA recommends:

- 23 • That WCG's uncollectible rate be set at 0.1643%, which is calculated by  
24 removing WCG's largest and smallest historical write-offs in the past eight  
25 years and taking a six-year average of WCG's remaining historical  
26 uncollectible rates.

27 For Cost of Capital, DRA recommends:

- 28 • That WCG's weighted return on rate base be set at 7.15%, with a common  
29 equity cost rate of 8.50% and a debt rate of 4.00%.

30 For Attrition, DRA recommends:

- 31 • That WCG's attrition rate be based on the forecasted CPI, reduced by a  
32 0.5% productivity factor in attrition years 2014, 2015, and 2016.

1 **III. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF OPERATING EXPENSES**

2 Gas distribution Operation and Maintenance (O&M) expenses are typically for  
3 work activities related to leakage surveys, leak repairs, maintenance on mains and  
4 services, application of corrosion control measures, valve maintenance, regulator  
5 station maintenance, monitoring meter accuracy, odorant, and locating and marking  
6 buried pipes to avoid damage caused from digging by others.

7 Customer Accounting expenses are typically for work activities related to  
8 meter reading, customer records and collections expenses, uncollectible accounts  
9 expense, and miscellaneous customer accounts expense.

10 The categories of Administrative and General (A&G) expenses typically  
11 cover general expenses not chargeable to a specific functional activity and are  
12 recorded in Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) Uniform System of  
13 Accounts 920 through 935. Many items comprise A&G expenses, including: outside  
14 services employed; injuries and damages; employee pensions & benefits; franchise  
15 tax; regulatory commission expense; miscellaneous general expense; and  
16 maintenance of general plant.

17 **A. Overview of WCG's Request**

18 WCG's 2013 test year forecasts of operating expenses include Operation &  
19 Maintenance (O&M) expenses, Administrative & General (A&G) expenses, and  
20 Customer Accounting expenses and exclude capital related operating expenses  
21 such as depreciation expense, property taxes, and income taxes.<sup>1</sup> Most of WCG's  
22 forecasted operating expenses are based on normalized 2011 recorded expenses,  
23 escalated by 1.75% for 2012 and 2.00% for 2013.<sup>2</sup> For FERC Account #926,  
24 Pensions and Benefits, employee benefit expenses (medical insurance payments,

---

<sup>1</sup> Prepared Direct Testimony of Raymond J. Czahar, CPA, on Behalf of West Coast Gas Company (dated May 1, 2012), pg 7.

<sup>2</sup> Prepared Direct Testimony of Raymond J. Czahar, CPA, on Behalf of West Coast Gas Company (dated May 1, 2012), pg 8.

1 vision benefits, and dental benefits.) are based on normalized 2011 recorded  
 2 expenses, escalated by 5.4% for 2012 and 5.4% for 2013.<sup>3</sup>

3 **B. DRA's Analysis**

4 Table 2-1 compares DRA's and WCG's TY2013 forecasts of operating  
 5 expenses:

6 **Table 2-1**  
 7 **Operating Expenses for TY2013**  
 8 **(In Nominal Dollars)**

Description (a)	DRA Recommended (b)	WCG Proposed <sup>4</sup> (c)	\$ Amount WCG>DRA (d=c-b)	Percent WCG>DRA (e=d/b)
Distribution Operations	\$347,907.14	\$363,435.66	\$15,528.52	4.46%
Distribution Maintenance	\$46,875.63	\$76,379.96	\$29,504.33	62.94%
Customer Accounting	\$105,704.52	\$110,653.32	\$4,948.80	4.68%
Administrative & General	\$260,892.99	\$264,587.21	\$3,694.22	1.42%
Total	\$761,380.28	\$815,056.15	\$53,675.87	7.05%

9  
 10 Tables 2-2, 2-3, 2-4, and 2-5 show WCG's 2007-2011 recorded expenses  
 11 and DRA's 2013 forecasted expenses for O&M, A&G, and Customer Accounting  
 12 Expenses.

13  
 14  
 15

<sup>3</sup> A.12-05-008, Ex. 1, Sheet 5, Page 1 of 1.

<sup>4</sup> A.12-05-008, Ex. 1, Sheet 1, Page 1 of 2 and 2 of 2.

1  
2  
3  
4

**Table 2-2**  
**Distribution Operations Expenses**  
**WCG's 2007-2011 Recorded / DRA's 2013 Forecast**  
**(in 2011 Dollars)**

Description	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2013
FERC Account 760	\$183,636.45	\$193,190.69	\$155,496.83	\$150,109.77	\$174,939.47	\$153,226.29
FERC Account 761	\$9,698.06	\$11,262.99	\$9,972.68	\$10,059.77	\$6,516.61	\$9,539.62
FERC Account 762	\$9,544.04	\$9,270.17	\$9,450.29	\$11,054.42	\$10,564.51	\$10,132.26
FERC Account 763	\$5,789.71	\$4,927.08	\$5,616.42	\$17,467.82	\$7,431.02	\$8,344.80
FERC Account 764	\$14,380.12	\$15,933.24	\$5,371.64	\$11,350.56	\$12,324.09	\$12,073.79
FERC Account 765	\$72,158.94	\$71,554.32	\$75,800.99	\$91,198.70	\$91,412.26	\$81,679.13
FERC Account 766	\$83,494.78	\$68,073.23	\$73,896.03	\$77,992.75	\$80,843.73	\$72,911.26
Total	\$378,702.10	\$374,211.73	\$335,604.89	\$369,233.78	\$384,031.69	\$347,907.14

5 Source: 2007-2011 data from WCG's response to data request DRA-WCG-05-EJ1

6  
7  
8  
9

**Table 2-3**  
**Distribution Maintenance Expenses**  
**WCG's 2007-2011 Recorded / DRA's 2013 Forecast**  
**(in 2011 Dollars)**

Description	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2013
FERC Account 767	\$22,355.80	\$23,928.11	\$19,939.06	\$15,386.93	\$43,736.84	\$25,460.26
FERC Account 768	\$764.56	\$1,065.73	\$174.56	-	-	-
FERC Account 887	\$10,693.36	\$45,826.32	\$14,657.91	\$12,608.98	\$34,149.05	\$21,415.37
Total	\$33,813.72	\$70,820.16	\$34,771.53	\$27,995.91	\$77,885.89	\$46,875.63

10 Source: 2007-2011 data from WCG's response to data request DRA-WCG-05-EJ1

11  
12  
13  
14

**Table 2-4**  
**Customer Accounting Expenses**  
**WCG's 2007-2011 Recorded / DRA's 2013 Forecast**  
**(in 2011 Dollars)**

Description	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2013
FERC Account 901	\$16,955.37	\$16,931.40	\$16,878.01	\$17,892.37	\$15,237.10	\$17,342.88
FERC Account 902	\$73,120.86	\$79,813.19	\$73,926.39	\$79,886.73	\$83,467.71	\$75,148.14
FERC Account 903	\$12,115.57	\$11,724.66	\$13,332.15	\$12,760.88	\$13,771.37	\$13,213.49
FERC Account 904	\$4,538.07	\$6,224.77	\$2,246.67	\$2,958.94	\$2,076.65	-
Total	\$106,729.87	\$114,694.03	\$106,383.21	\$113,498.92	\$114,552.83	105,704.52

15 Source: 2007-2011 data from WCG's response to data request DRA-WCG-05-EJ1

1  
2  
3  
4

**Table 2-5**  
**Administrative and General Expenses**  
**WCG's 2007-2011 Recorded / DRA's 2013 Forecast**  
**(in 2011 Dollars)**

Description	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2013
FERC Account 920	\$128,149.98	\$128,191.63	\$113,218.97	\$101,464.55	\$89,201.99	\$95,866.07
FERC Account 921	\$14,641.92	\$11,807.32	\$11,122.75	\$9,797.14	\$14,659.95	\$12,287.01
FERC Account 922	\$(499.97)	-	-	(5,258.38)	-	\$(1,101.74)
FERC Account 923	\$8,995.34	\$17,660.10	\$17,334.71	\$13,254.34	\$16,319.43	\$14,607.69
FERC Account 924	\$32,786.20	\$43,658.82	\$35,799.47	\$45,084.18	\$43,213.13	\$37,325.03
FERC Account 926	\$53,323.63	\$61,462.06	\$53,180.43	\$50,857.58	\$57,328.05	\$57,388.87
FERC Account 927	\$18,631.70	\$22,094.47	\$16,295.22	\$15,961.38	\$15,801.24	-
FERC Account 928	-	\$2,564.20	\$1,177.16	\$1,151.09	\$4,529.08	\$1,884.30
FERC Account 930	\$3,458.62	\$4,753.35	\$9,078.13	\$4,678.36	\$8,472.47	\$6,000.29
FERC Account 931	-	\$20,337.26	\$24,632.01	\$25,997.58	\$26,947.91	\$18,733.99
FERC Account 933	\$2,697.71	\$2,365.14	\$717.78	\$2,921.97	-	-
FERC Account 935	\$15,711.39	\$20,141.85	\$17,239.91	\$19,134.17	\$17,924.08	\$17,901.49
Total	\$277,896.52	\$335,036.19	\$299,796.53	\$285,043.95	\$294,397.33	\$260,892.99

5 Source: 2007-2011 data from WCG's response to data request DRA-WCG-05-EJ1

6 WCG did not forecast any TY2013 expenses in Maintenance of Meters  
7 (Account 768) and Transportation Expense (Account 933). DRA similarly assumes  
8 zero TY2013 expenses in Accounts 768 and 933.

9 **1. WCG Has Been Granting Significant Wage**  
10 **Increases to its Distribution Maintenance**  
11 **Employees**

12 From WCG's response to data request DRA-WCG-06-EJ1, Question 1, DRA  
13 notes that WCG has been granting significant wage increases to its Distribution  
14 Maintenance employees during the 2007-2011 period. In general, those employees  
15 have been receiving annual increases in their hourly pay rate ranging from 7% to  
16 10%, excluding 2009. In one instance, an employee received a 33% increase in  
17 2007. DRA is concerned that WCG has granted wage increases of that magnitude,  
18 with seemingly little consideration for the fact that these costs are ultimately funded

1 by its ratepayers. DRA believes that its recommended forecasting methodology for  
2 operating expenses, as discussed in the next section, will help mitigate the impacts  
3 from WCG's increasing distribution maintenance O&M labor costs from the past five  
4 years.

5 **2. DRA's Forecasting Methodology for Operating**  
6 **Expenses**

7 DRA recommends that nearly all forecasted O&M, A&G, and Customer  
8 Accounting expenses be based on a five-year average of historical expense data  
9 over the period 2007-2011, escalated by WCG's proposed rates of 1.75% for 2012  
10 and 2.00% for 2013. DRA takes issue with WCG's methodology of forecasting 2013  
11 expenses by escalating 2011 recorded expenses because expenses in 2011 are  
12 uncharacteristically high compared to previous years in many accounts. The total  
13 2011 expenses are the highest recorded expenses in the past five years (2007-  
14 2011) by a significant margin.<sup>5</sup> In addition, WCG did not present any evidence that  
15 the workload and expenses in 2012 and 2013 would be the same as in 2011.

16 Table 2-6 compares 2011 recorded expenses with a three- and five-year  
17 average of historical expense data. Total expenses for 2011 were \$45,989.08  
18 higher than the five-year average of historical expenses for the period 2007-2011  
19 and \$56,469.92 higher than the three-year average of historical expenses for the  
20 period 2009-2011. The historical data for WCG's operating expenses do not reflect  
21 upward trending expense levels; rather, the data shows that WCG's operating  
22 expenses tend to fluctuate from year-to-year. Therefore, DRA recommends using a  
23 five-year average of historical expense data as the basis for forecasting TY2013  
24 operating expenses. Note that while the three-year average of historical expenses is  
25 lower than the five-year average of historical expenses by \$10,480.84, DRA believes  
26 that a five-year average is more reasonable in this case.

27  

---

<sup>5</sup> 2007-2011 data from WCG's response to data request DRA-WCG-05-EJ1

1  
2  
3

**Table 2-6**  
**Comparison Chart of Base Expenses Used to Forecast 2013 Expenses**  
**(In 2011 Dollars)**

	2011 Recorded Expenses <sup>6</sup>	5-Year Average 2007-2011	\$ Amount 2011 Expenses > 5 Year Avg.	3-Year Average 2009-2011	\$ Amount 2011 Expenses > 3 Year Avg.
<b>Operating Expenses</b>	\$384,031.69	\$368,356.84	\$15,674.85	\$362,956.79	\$21,074.90
<b>Maintenance Expenses</b>	\$77,885.89	\$48,656.47	\$29,229.42	\$46,884.44	\$31,001.45
<b>Customer Accounting</b>	\$114,552.83	\$111,171.77	\$3,381.06	\$111,478.32	\$3,074.51
<b>Administrative &amp; General</b>	\$294,397.33	\$296,693.58	(\$2,296.25)	\$293,078.27	\$1,319.06
<b>Total</b>	\$870,867.74	\$824,878.66	\$45,989.08	\$814,397.82	\$56,469.92

4

5 DRA recommends using the same 5-year average methodology for all of  
6 WCG's operating expenses accounts except for FERC Accounts 920 and 926, as  
7 explained below.

8

### 3. FERC Account 920 – A&G Salaries

9

10 DRA accepts WCG's methodology of forecasting TY2013 A&G Salaries  
(Account 920), based on recorded base year 2011 expenses escalated to 2013  
11 levels, and recommends that WCG receive an additional \$18,090.56 to cover the  
12 incremental cost associated with converting a part-time employee to a full-time  
13 basis.<sup>7</sup> In discovery, DRA asked: "Does WCG forecast any changes in staffing  
14 levels for Test Year 2013?" In response, WCG stated: "A field technician has been  
15 moved up from part time to full time work in 2012" and "therefore, the 2013 Cost of  
16 Service is understated by \$18,089.56." DRA's forecast of \$95,866.07 reflects  
17 WCG's only predicted TY2013 changes in staffing levels.

---

<sup>6</sup> 2011 data from A.12-05-008, Ex. 1, Sheet 1, Page 1 of 2 and Page 2 of 2.

<sup>7</sup> Response to data request DRA-WCG-09-EJ1, Question 2.

1                                   **4. FERC Account 926 – Pensions & Benefits**

2                   DRA accepts WCG’s methodology of escalating 2011 expenses in its TY2013  
3 forecast for Pensions and Benefits expenses. However, DRA recommends that the  
4 forecast for TY2013 Pensions & Benefits expenses use more recent forecasted  
5 rates, from Global Insight, for escalating health care benefits (health care, dental,  
6 and vision services). DRA proposes that the 2011 normalized expenses for medical  
7 insurance payments be escalated by 3.4% in 2012 and by 4.4% in 2013,<sup>8</sup> expenses  
8 for dental benefits be escalated by 2.4% in 2012 and 2.1% in 2013,<sup>9</sup> and vision  
9 benefits be escalated by 1.1% in 2012 and 1.4% in 2013.<sup>10</sup> DRA’s proposed  
10 adjustments result in a forecast of \$57,388.83 for Account 926 compared to the  
11 WCG forecast of \$58,561.69.

12                   **IV. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF UNCOLLECTIBLES**

13                   Utilities are compensated for bad debt write-offs with an uncollectibles rate  
14 based on historic data that is multiplied by the utility’s energy revenues.

15                   **A. Overview of WCG’s Request**

16                   WCG seeks to increase its uncollectibles rate from the currently authorized  
17 level of 0.1160% to 0.285%. WCG’s requested escalation rate is the average of the  
18 historical uncollectibles rate for the 3-year period 2009 through 2011.<sup>11</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup> IHS Global Insight Cost Planner First-Quarter 2012, p.147, Additional Forecast Tables, Table A1, Corporate Expenses, Health Care Benefits, ECI, Group Health Insurance (ECIHI %).

<sup>9</sup> IHS Global Insight Cost Planner First-Quarter 2012, p.147, Additional Forecast Tables, Table A1, Corporate Expenses, Health Care Benefits, CPI, Dental Services (CUSEMC02NS %).

<sup>10</sup> IHS Global Insight Cost Planner First-Quarter 2012, p.147, Additional Forecast Tables, Table A1, Corporate Expenses, Health Care Benefits, CPI, Eye Care (CUSEMC03NS %).

<sup>11</sup> A.12-05-008, Ex. 1, Sheet 25, Page 1 of 1.



1 continued use of the imputed 30% debt and 70% common equity ratio that was  
2 agreed to in the previous settlement and adopted by the Commission.<sup>12</sup>

### 3 **B. DRA's Analysis**

#### 4 **1. Capital Structure**

5 DRA does not take issue with the capital structure that WCG has proposed  
6 and that the Commission has previously authorized in WCG's last rate case.<sup>13</sup>

#### 7 **2. Cost of Long-Term Debt**

8 DRA does not take issue with the 4% cost of long-term debt that WCG has  
9 proposed.

#### 10 **3. Return on Common Equity**

11 DRA proposes a rate of return on common equity of 8.5% compared to  
12 WCG's request of 10.0%. The DRA recommendation of 8.5% is a reasonable rate  
13 of return on equity for WCG in contrast to the 10.0% figure proposed by WCG for the  
14 reasons discussed below.

15 First, the proposal of WCG is much higher than the figure authorized by the  
16 Commission in WCG's last GRC. In D.08-11-010, the Commission approved a  
17 settlement agreement in WCG's TY 2009 GRC which adopted a rate of return of  
18 equity of 9.4%.<sup>14</sup> The changes in interest rates and related factors support a  
19 reduction in the rate of return on equity for TY 2013 compared to rates adopted in  
20 2009.

21 Second, the proposed 10% ROE of WCG is too high in light of what DRA has  
22 recommended for other utilities in the generic Cost of Capital (COC) proceeding,

---

<sup>12</sup> Prepared Direct Testimony of Raymond J. Czahar, CPA, on Behalf of West Coast Gas Company (dated May 1, 2012), pages 10-11.

<sup>13</sup> A.08-04-007, pg 4.

<sup>14</sup> D.08-11-010, p 4, FOF 2.

1 Application (A.) 12-04-015.<sup>15</sup> DRA's recommended rate of return on common equity  
2 of 8.5% is consistent with its cost of capital recommendation for San Diego Gas &  
3 Electric Company (SDG&E) and Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) in  
4 the generic COC proceeding. The 8.5% figure is appropriate in light of the fact that  
5 WCG has a common equity ratio of 70% compared to the 52% equity weighting of  
6 SoCalGas and SDG&E. The fact that WCG common equity weighting is well above  
7 that of SoCalGas and SDG&E supports a lower return on common equity for WCG  
8 than the 8.5% being proposed.

9 Third, interest rates are currently much lower as compared to the interest  
10 rates that existed during WCG's last GRC. The Aa Utility bond rate was 6.18% in  
11 2008 and 5.75 % in 2009 during the time of WCG's last GRC decision. The same  
12 interest rate for Aa Utility bonds is 3.91% for 2012 and is forecast to be 4.37% in  
13 2013. The current interest rate figures are over 100 basis points below the interest  
14 rates in effect at the time of WCG's last GRC in which a rate of return on equity of  
15 9.4% was adopted. This factor further supports DRA's proposed rate of return on  
16 equity of 8.5%.

#### 17 **4. Weighted Cost of Capital**

18 DRA's recommended return on common equity produces a weighted cost of  
19 capital of 7.15%. Table 2-8 compares DRA's and WCG's TY2013 forecasts of Cost  
20 of Capital.

21

---

<sup>15</sup> See DRA's report served in response to A.12-04-015,  
[http://www.dra.ca.gov/uploadedFiles/Content/Energy/Customer\\_Rates/Cost\\_of\\_Capital/Report%20on%20the%20Cost%20of%20Capital%20for%20Test%20Year%202013%20\(Exhibit%20DRA-01\).pdf](http://www.dra.ca.gov/uploadedFiles/Content/Energy/Customer_Rates/Cost_of_Capital/Report%20on%20the%20Cost%20of%20Capital%20for%20Test%20Year%202013%20(Exhibit%20DRA-01).pdf)

1  
2

**Table 2-8  
2013 Cost of Capital for WCG**

<b>Description</b>	<b>DRA Recommended</b>			<b>WCG Proposed<sup>16</sup></b>		
	<i>Ratio (b)</i>	<i>Rate (c)</i>	<i>Wtd. Cost (d=b*c)</i>	<i>Ratio (e)</i>	<i>Rate (f)</i>	<i>Wtd. Cost (g=e*f)</i>
Long-Term Debt	30.00%	4.00%	1.20%	30.00%	4.00%	1.20%
Common Equity	70.00%	8.50%	5.95%	70.00%	10.00%	7.00%
Total	100.00%		7.15%	100.00%		8.20%

3

4 **VI. DISCUSSION / ANALYSIS OF ATTRITION**

5 The General Rate Case (GRC) proceeding is used to periodically review and  
6 set reasonable rates for utilities for a specific test year, in this case, 2013. For the  
7 period between GRC proceedings, the Commission has, in some cases, granted  
8 attrition-type revenue increases and, in other cases, has not provided such  
9 increases.

10 **A. Overview of WCG’s Request**

11 WCG requests to use the same attrition mechanism for attrition years 2014,  
12 2015, and 2016 that was adopted in WCG’s last GRC. Under these provisions,  
13 WCG must submit an annual advice letter with changes in the revenue requirement  
14 prior to the start of each attrition year. The attrition rate would be calculated based  
15 on a simple average of the forecasted changes in the Consumer Price Index (“CPI”)  
16 as published by Data Resources Inc. and Global Insight in the month and on the day  
17 closest to July 1 of the year before the attrition year.<sup>17</sup>

18 **B. DRA’s Analysis**

19 For this GRC, DRA does not oppose an attrition mechanism which will  
20 provide WCG with some reasonable level of revenue increases in 2014, 2015, and

---

<sup>16</sup> Prepared Direct Testimony of Raymond J. Czahar, CPA, on Behalf of West Coast Gas Company (dated May 1, 2012), pages 10-11.

<sup>17</sup> Prepared Direct Testimony of Raymond J. Czahar, CPA, on Behalf of West Coast Gas Company (dated May 1, 2012), pages 14-15.

1 2016. DRA recommends a similar attrition methodology as previously authorized in  
2 WCG's last rate case, but with one modification—that a productivity factor of 0.5%  
3 be factored in for attrition years 2014, 2015, and 2016.

4 DRA's recommended 0.5% productivity factor is consistent with DRA's  
5 approach in Sierra Pacific Power Company's<sup>18</sup> 2009 general rate case, in which the  
6 Commission authorized a Post Test Year Adjustment Mechanism (PTAM)  
7 mandating a 0.5% productivity factor be subtracted from the forecasted CPI.<sup>19</sup>  
8 Similarly, in PacifiCorp's 2011 general rate case, the Commission adopted a PTAM  
9 that utilized an offsetting productivity factor of 0.5% or zero.<sup>20</sup>

10 WCG's annual attrition increase would be calculated by taking the prior year's  
11 authorized revenue requirement and multiplying it by an adjustment factor equal to  
12 the average of forecasted CPI from Global Insight and Data Resources, less 0.5%.  
13

---

<sup>18</sup> Now known as the California Pacific Electric Company, or CalPeco.

<sup>19</sup> D.09-10-041, *mimeo.*, p. 9.

<sup>20</sup> D.10-09-010, *mimeo.*, 9-10.

1 **VII. WITNESS QUALIFICATIONS**

2 Q.1 Please state your name and business address.

3 A.1 My name is Eleanor M. Jaeger. My business address is 505 Van Ness  
4 Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102.

5 Q.2 By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

6 A.2 I am employed by the California Public Utilities Commission as a Public  
7 Utilities Regulatory Analyst in the Division of Ratepayer Advocates, Energy  
8 Cost of Service and Natural Gas Branch.

9 Q.3 Please describe briefly your educational background and work experience.

10 A.3 I have a B.S. in Environmental Economics and Policy from the University of  
11 California, Berkeley.

12 Since joining the Commission in May 2012, I have worked on Pacific Gas and  
13 Electric Company's (PG&E) Notice of Intent (NOI) to file a 2014 GRC.

14 Prior to joining the Commission, I interned as an International Trade Analyst  
15 with the United States Department of Commerce; my primary responsibilities  
16 included analyzing micro- and macro-economic changes in the clean  
17 technology market and connecting local clean-technology businesses to  
18 international markets.

19 Q.4 What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

20 A.4 I am responsible for Exhibit DRA-2, which addresses West Coast Gas  
21 Company's Operating Expenses, Uncollectibles, Cost of Capital, and Attrition.

22 Q.5 Does that complete your witness qualifications?

23 A.5 Yes, it does.

24